arXiv:1210.3445v1 [math.PR] 12 Oct 2012

Maximum Principle for Quasilinear Stochastic PDEs with Obstacle

Laurent DENIS

Université d'Evry-Val-d'Essonne-FRANCE
e-mail: `ldenis@univ-evry.fr`

Anis MATOUSSI

LUNAM Université, Université du Maine - FRANCE
e-mail: `anis.matoussi@univ-lemans.fr`

Jing ZHANG

Université d'Evry-Val-d'Essonne -FRANCE
e-mail: `jzhang@univ-evry.fr`

Abstract: We prove a maximum principle for local solutions of quasilinear stochastic PDEs with obstacle (in short OSPDE). The proofs are based on a version of Itô's formula and estimates for the positive part of a local solution which is non-positive on the lateral boundary.

AMS 2000 subject classifications: Primary 60H15; 35R60; 31B150.
Keywords and phrases: Stochastic PDE's, Obstacle problems, Itô's formula, L^p-estimate, Local solution, Comparison theorem, Maximum principle, Moser iteration.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider an obstacle problem for the following parabolic Stochastic PDE (SPDE in short)

$$\begin{cases} du_t(x) = \partial_i \left(a_{i,j}(x)\partial_j u_t(x)\right) dt + \partial_i g_i(t,x,u_t(x),\nabla u_t(x))dt + f(t,x,u_t(x),\nabla u_t(x))dt \\ \qquad\qquad + \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} h_j(t,x,u_t(x),\nabla u_t(x))dB_t^j + \nu(t,dx), \\ u_t \geq S_t\,, \\ u_0 = \xi\,. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Here, S is the given obstacle, a is a matrix defining a symmetric operator on an open bounded domain $\mathcal{O}$, f, g, h are random coefficients.
In a recent work [9] we have proved existence and uniqueness of the solution of equation (1) with Dirichlet boundary condition under standard Lipschitz hypotheses and L^2-type

---

*The work of the first and third author is supported by the chair *risque de crédit*, Fédération bancaire Française

†The research of the second author was partially supported by the Chair *Financial Risks* of the *Risk Foundation* sponsored by Société Générale, the Chair *Derivatives of the Future* sponsored by the Fédération Bancaire Française, and the Chair *Finance and Sustainable Development* sponsored by EDF and Calyon

integrability conditions on the coefficients. Let us recall that the solution is a couple (u,ν), where u is a process with values in the first order Sobolev space and ν is a random regular measure forcing u to stay above S and satisfying a minimal Skohorod condition.

In order to give a rigorous meaning to the notion of solution, inspired by the works of M. Pierre in the deterministic case (see [22, 23]), we introduce the notion of parabolic capacity. The key point is that in [9], we construct a solution which admits a quasi continuous version hence defined outside a polar set and that regular measures which in general are not absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure, do not charge polar sets.

There is a huge literature on parabolic SPDE's without obstacle. The study of the L^p-norms w.r.t. the randomness of the space-time uniform norm on the trajectories of a stochastic PDE was started by N. V. Krylov in [15] (see also Kim [13]), for a more complete overview of existing works on this subject see [7, 8] and the references therein. Let us also mention that some maximum principle have been established by N. V. Krylov [16] for linear parabolic spde's on Lipschitz domain. Concerning the obstacle problem, there are two approaches, a probabilistic one (see [19, 14]) based on the Feynmann-Kac's formula via the backward doubly stochastic differential equations and the analytical one (see [10, 21, 26]) based on the Green function.

To our knowledge, up to now there is no maximum principle result for quasilinear SPDE with obstacle and even very few results in the deterministic case. The aim of this paper is to obtain, under suitable integrability conditions on the coefficients, L^p-estimates for the uniform norm (in time and space) of the solution, a maximum principle for local solutions of equation (1) and comparison theorems similar to those obtained in the without obstacle case in [5, 7]. This yields for example the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(M_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be an Itô process satisfying some integrability conditions, $p\geq 2$ and u be a local weak solution of the obstacle problem (1). Assume that $\partial\mathcal{O}$ is Lipschitz and $u\leq M$ on $\partial\mathcal{O}$, then for all $t\in[0,T]$:*

$$E\left\|(u-M)^+\right\|^p_{\infty,\infty;t}\leq k\,(p,t)\,\mathcal{C}(S,f,g,h,M)$$

where $\mathcal{C}(S,f,g,h,M)$ depends only on the barrier S, the initial condition ξ, coefficients f,g,h, the boundary condition M and k is a function which only depends on p and t, $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,\infty;t}$ is the uniform norm on $[0,t]\times\mathcal{O}$.

Let us remark that in order to get such a result, we define the notion of local solutions to the obstacle problem (1) and so introduce what we call *local regular measures.*

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we introduce notations and hypotheses and we take care to detail the integrability conditions which are used all along the paper. In section 3, we prove an existence and uniqueness result for the obstacle problem (1) with null Dirichlet condition under a weaker integrability hypothesis on f and also give an estimate of the positive part of the solution. In section 4, we establish the L^p-estimate for uniform norm of the solution with null Dirichlet boundary condition. Section 5 is devoted to the main result: the maximum principle for local solutions whose proof is based on an Itô formula satisfied by the positive part of any local solution with lateral boundary condition, M. The last section is an Appendix in which we give the proofs of several lemmas.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. $L^{p,q}$*−space*

Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open bounded domain and $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ the set of square integrable functions with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathcal{O}$, it is an Hilbert space equipped with the usual scalar product and norm as follows

$$(u,v) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} u(x)v(x)dx, \qquad \| u \| = (\int_{\mathcal{O}} u^2(x)dx)^{1/2}.$$

In general, we shall extend the notation

$$(u,v) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} u(x)v(x)dx,$$

where $u,\ v$ are measurable functions defined on $\mathcal{O}$ such that $uv \in L^1(\mathcal{O})$.

The first order Sobolev space of functions vanishing at the boundary will be denoted by $H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$, its natural scalar product and norm are

$$(u,v)_{H_0^1(\mathcal{O})} = (u,v) + \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\partial_i u\left(x\right)\right)\left(\partial_i v\left(x\right)\right) dx,\ \|u\|_{H_0^1(\mathcal{O})} = \left(\|u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

As usual we shall denote $H^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ its dual space and $H_{loc}^1(\mathcal{O})$ the space of functions which are locally square integrable in $\mathcal{O}$ and which admit first order derivatives that are also locally square integrable.

For each $t > 0$ and for all real numbers $p,\ q \geq 1$, we denote by $L^{p,q}([0,t] \times \mathcal{O})$ the space of (classes of) measurable functions $u : [0,t] \times \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|u\|_{p,q;\,t} := \left(\int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} |u(s,x)|^p \, dx\right)^{q/p} ds\right)^{1/q}$$

is finite. The limiting cases with p or q taking the value ∞ are also considered with the use of the essential sup norm.

Now we introduce some other spaces of functions and discuss a certain duality between them. Like in [5] and [7], for self-containeness, we recall the following definitions:

Let $(p_1,q_1),\ (p_2,q_2) \in [1,\infty]^2$ be fixed and set

$$I = I\left(p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2\right) := \Big\{(p,q) \in [1,\infty]^2 \,/\, \exists\, \rho \in [0,1]\, s.t.$$

$$\frac{1}{p} = \rho\frac{1}{p_1} + \left(1-\rho\right)\frac{1}{p_2}, \frac{1}{q} = \rho\frac{1}{q_1} + \left(1-\rho\right)\frac{1}{q_2}\Big\}.$$

This means that the set of inverse pairs $\left(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{q}\right)$, (p,q) belonging to I, is a segment contained in the square $[0,1]^2$, with the extremities $\left(\frac{1}{p_1},\frac{1}{q_1}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{1}{p_2},\frac{1}{q_2}\right)$.
We introduce:

$$L_{I;t}=\bigcap_{(p,q)\in I}L^{p,q}\left([0,t]\times\mathcal{O}\right).$$

We know that this space coincides with the intersection of the extreme spaces,

$$L_{I;t}=L^{p_1,q_1}\left([0,t]\times\mathcal{O}\right)\cap L^{p_2,q_2}\left([0,t]\times\mathcal{O}\right)$$

and that it is a Banach space with the following norm

$$\|u\|_{I;t}:=\|u\|_{p_1,q_1;t}\vee\|u\|_{p_2,q_2;t}.$$

The other space of interest is the algebraic sum

$$L^{I;t}:=\sum_{(p,q)\in I}L^{p,q}\left([0,t]\times\mathcal{O}\right),$$

which represents the vector space generated by the same family of spaces. This is a normed vector space with the norm

$$\|u\|^{I;t}:=\inf\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|u_i\|_{k_i,l_i;\,t}\;/\;u=\sum_{i=1}^{n}u_i,u_i\in L^{k_i,l_i}\left([0,t]\times\mathcal{O}\right),\,(k_i,l_i)\in I,\,i=1,...n;\,n\in\mathbb{N}^*\right\}.$$

Clearly one has $L^{I;t}\subset L^{1,1}\left([0,t]\times\mathcal{O}\right)$ and $\|u\|_{1,1;t}\leq c\,\|u\|^{I;t}$, for each $u\in L^{I;t}$, with a certain constant $c>0$.
We also remark that if $(p,q)\in I$, then the conjugate pair (p',q'), with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p'}=\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q'}=1$, belongs to another set, I', of the same type. This set may be described by

$$I'=I'\left(p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2\right):=\left\{\left(p',q'\right)/\;\exists\,(p,q)\in I\;s.t.\;\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p'}=\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q'}=1\right\}$$

and it is not difficult to check that $I'\left(p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2\right)=I\left(p_1',q_1',p_2',q_2'\right)$, where p_1',q_1',p_2' and q_2' are defined by $\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_1'}=\frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_1'}=\frac{1}{p_2}+\frac{1}{p_2'}=\frac{1}{q_2}+\frac{1}{q_2'}=1$.
Moreover, by Hölder's inequality, it follows that one has

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}u\left(s,x\right)v\left(s,x\right)dxds\leq\|u\|_{I;t}\,\|v\|^{I';t},\tag{2}$$

for any $u\in L_{I;t}$ and $v\in L^{I';t}$. This inequality shows that the scalar product of $L^2\left([0,t]\times\mathcal{O}\right)$ extends to a duality relation for the spaces $L_{I;t}$ and $L^{I';t}$.
Now let us recall that the Sobolev inequality states that

$$\|u\|_{2^*}\leq c_S\,\|\nabla u\|_2,\tag{3}$$

for each $u\in H_0^1\left(\mathcal{O}\right)$, where $c_S>0$ is a constant that depends on the dimension and $2^*=\frac{2d}{d-2}$ if $d>2$, while 2^* may be any number in $]2,\infty[$ if $d=2$ and $2^*=\infty$ if $d=1$. Therefore one has

$$\|u\|_{2^*,2;t}\leq c_S\,\|\nabla u\|_{2,2;t},$$

for each $t \geq 0$ and each $u \in L^2_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1_0(\mathcal{O})\right)$. If $u \in L^\infty_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\mathcal{O})\right) \bigcap L^2_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1_0(\mathcal{O})\right)$, one has

$$\|u\|_{2,\infty;t} \vee \|u\|_{2^*,2;t} \leq c_1 \left(\|u\|^2_{2,\infty;t} + \|\nabla u\|^2_{2,2;t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

with $c_1 = c_S \vee 1$.
One particular case of interest for us in relation with this inequality is when $p_1 = 2, q_1 = \infty$ and $p_2 = 2^*, q_2 = 2$. If $I = I(2, \infty, 2^*, 2)$, then the corresponding set of associated conjugate numbers is $I' = I'(2, \infty, 2^*, 2) = I\left(2, 1, \frac{2^*}{2^*-1}, 2\right)$, where for $d = 1$ we make the convention that $\frac{2^*}{2^*-1} = 1$. In this particular case we shall use the notation $L_{\#;t} := L_{I;t}$ and $L^*_{\#;t} := L^{I';t}$ and the respective norms will be denoted by

$$\|u\|_{\#;t} := \|u\|_{I;t} = \|u\|_{2,\infty;t} \vee \|u\|_{2^*,2;t}\,,\ \|u\|^*_{\#;t} := \|u\|^{I';t}.$$

Thus we may write

$$\|u\|_{\#;t} \leq c_1 \left(\|u\|^2_{2,\infty;t} + \|\nabla u\|^2_{2,2;t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{4}$$

for any $u \in L^\infty_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\mathcal{O})\right) \bigcap L^2_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1_0(\mathcal{O})\right)$ and $t \geq 0$ and the duality inequality becomes

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} u(s,x)\, v(s,x)\, dxds \leq \|u\|_{\#;t} \|v\|^*_{\#;t}\,, \tag{5}$$

for any $u \in L_{\#;t}$ and $v \in L^*_{\#;t}$.
For $d \geq 3$ and some parameter $\theta \in [0,1[$ we set:

$$\Gamma^*_\theta = \left\{(p,q) \in [1,\infty]^2 \,/\, \frac{d}{2p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 - \theta\right\},$$

$$L^*_\theta = \sum_{(p,q)\in\Gamma^*_\theta} L^{p,q}([0,t] \times \mathcal{O})$$

$$\|u\|^*_{\theta;t} := \inf\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n \|u_i\|_{k_i,l_i;\,t} \,/\, u = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i, u_i \in L^{k_i,l_i}([0,t] \times \mathcal{O}),\right.$$
$$\left.(k_i, l_i) \in \Gamma^*_\theta,\ i = 1,...n;\ n \in \mathbb{N}^*\right\}.$$

If $d = 1, 2.$ we put

$$\Gamma_\theta = \left\{(p,q) \in [1,\infty]^2 \,/\, \frac{2^*}{2^*-2}\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{2^*}{2^*-2} + \theta\right\},$$

$$\Gamma^*_\theta = \left\{(p,q) \in [1,\infty]^2 \,/\, \frac{2^*}{2^*-2}\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 - \theta\right\}$$

and by using similar calculations with the convention $\frac{2^*}{2^*-2} = 1$ if $d = 1$.
We remark that $\Gamma^*_\theta = I\left(\infty, \frac{1}{1-\theta}, \frac{d}{2(1-\theta)}, \infty\right)$ and that the norm $\|u\|^*_{\theta;t}$ coincides with $\|u\|^{\Gamma^*_\theta;t} = \|u\|^{I\left(\infty,\frac{1}{1-\theta},\frac{d}{2(1-\theta)},\infty\right);t}$. We recall that the norm $\|u\|^*_{\#;t}$ is associated to the set $I\left(2, 1, \frac{2^*}{2^*-1}, 2\right)$, i.e. $\|u\|^*_{\#;t}$ coincides with $\|u\|^{I\left(2,1,\frac{2^*}{2^*-1},2\right);t}$.

2.2. Hypotheses

We consider a sequence $((B^i(t))_{t\geq 0})_{i\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ of independent Brownian motions defined on a standard filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, P)$ satisfying the usual conditions.
Let A be a symmetric second order differential operator defined on the open bounded subset $\mathcal{O}\subset\mathbb{R}^d$, with domain $\mathcal{D}(A)$, given by

$$A := -L = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \partial_i (a^{i,j}(x)\partial_j).$$

We assume that $a(x) = (a^{i,j}(x))_{i,j}$ is a measurable symmetric matrix defined on $\mathcal{O}$ which satisfies the uniform ellipticity condition

$$\lambda|\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a^{i,j}(x)\xi^i\xi^j \leq \Lambda|\xi|^2,\ \forall x\in\mathcal{O},\ \xi\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

where λ and Λ are positive constants. The energy associated with the matrix a will be denoted by

$$\mathcal{E}\,(w,v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathcal{O}} a^{i,j}(x)\partial_i w(x)\partial_j v(x)\,dx. \tag{6}$$

It's defined for functions $w,\, v\in H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$, or for $w\in H^1_{loc}(\mathcal{O})$ and $v\in H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$ with compact support.
We assume that we have predictable random functions

$$\begin{aligned}
&f : \mathbb{R}^+\times\Omega\times\mathcal{O}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R},\\
&g = (g_1, ..., g_d) : \mathbb{R}^+\times\Omega\times\mathcal{O}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d,\\
&h = (h_1, ..., h_i, ...) : \mathbb{R}^+\times\Omega\times\mathcal{O}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}^*}.
\end{aligned}$$

We define

$$f(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,0,0) := f^0,\ \ g(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,0,0) := g^0 = (g_1^0, ..., g_d^0) \text{ and } h(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,0,0) := h^0 = (h_1^0, ..., h_i^0, ...).$$

In the sequel, $|\cdot|$ will always denote the underlying Euclidean or l^2-norm. For example

$$|h(t,\omega,x,y,z)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty}|h_i(t,\omega,x,y,z)|^2.$$

Remark 2.1. *Let us note that this general setting of the SPDE* (1) *we consider, encompasses the case of an SPDE driven by a space-time noise, colored in space and white in time as in [25] for example (see also Example 1 in [9]).*

Assumption (H): There exist non-negative constants $C,\ \alpha,\ \beta$ such that for almost all ω, the following inequalities hold for all $(t,x,y,z)\in\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathcal{O}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d$:

1. $|f(t,\omega,x,y,z) - f(t,\omega,x,y',z')| \leq C(|y-y'| + |z-z'|)$,
2. $|g(t,\omega,x,y,z) - g(t,\omega,x,y',z')| \leq C|y-y'| + \alpha|z-z'|$,

3. $|h(t,\omega,x,y,z)-h(t,\omega,x,y',z')|\leq C|y-y'|+\beta|z-z'|$,
4. the contraction property: $2\alpha+\beta^2<2\lambda$.

Moreover we introduce some integrability conditions on the coefficients f^0, g^0, h^0 and the initial data ξ : **(HI2)** and the weaker one **(HI#)** concerns the case of global solutions and the one denoted **(HIL)** concerns the case of local solutions.
All along this article, we fix a terminal time $T>0$.

Assumption (HI2)

$$E\left(\|\xi\|_2^2+\left\|f^0\right\|_{2,2;T}^2+\left\||g^0|\right\|_{2,2;T}^2+\left\||h^0|\right\|_{2,2;T}^2\right)<\infty.$$

Assumption (HI#)

$$E\left(\|\xi\|_2^2+\left(\left\|f^0\right\|_{\#;T}^*\right)^2+\left\||g^0|\right\|_{2,2;T}^2+\left\||h^0|\right\|_{2,2;T}^2\right)<\infty.$$

Assumption (HIL)

$$E\int_K|\xi(x)|^2dx+E\int_0^T\int_K\left(|f_s^0(x)|^2+|g_s^0(x)|^2+|h_s^0(x)|^2\right)dxds<\infty,$$

for any compact set $K\subset\mathcal{O}$.

Remark 2.2. *Note that $(2,1)$ is the pair of conjugates of the pair $(2,\infty)$ and so $(2,1)$ belongs to the set I' which defines the space $L^*_{\#;t}$. Since $\|v\|_{2,1;t}\leq\sqrt{t}\,\|v\|_{2,2;t}$ for each $v\in L^{2,2}\left([0,t]\times\mathcal{O}\right)$, it follows that*

$$L^{2,2}\left([0,t]\times\mathcal{O}\right)\subset L^{2,1;t}\subset L^*_{\#;t},$$

*and $\|v\|^*_{\#;t}\leq\sqrt{t}\,\|v\|_{2,2;t}$, for each $v\in L^{2,2}\left([0,t]\times\mathcal{O}\right)$. This shows that the condition **(HI#)** is weaker than **(HI2)**.*

2.3. Weak solutions

We now introduce $\mathcal{H}_T$, the space of $H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$-valued predictable processes $(u_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ such that

$$\left(E\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}\|u_s\|_2^2+\int_0^T E\,\mathcal{E}\left(u_s\right)ds\right)^{1/2}<\infty\,.$$

We define $\mathcal{H}_{loc}=\mathcal{H}_{loc}(\mathcal{O})$ to be the set of $H^1_{loc}(\mathcal{O})$-valued predictable processes defined on $[0,T]$ such that for any compact subset K in $\mathcal{O}$:

$$\left(E\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}\int_K u_s(x)^2\,dx+E\int_0^T\int_K|\nabla u_s(x)|^2\,dxds\right)^{1/2}<\infty.$$

The space of test functions is the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)\otimes\mathcal{C}_c^2(\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ denotes the space of all real infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $\mathcal{C}_c^2(\mathcal{O})$ the set of C^2-functions with compact support in $\mathcal{O}$.

Now we recall the definition of the regular measure which has been defined in [9].
$\mathcal{K}$ denotes $L^\infty([0,T];L^2(\mathcal{O}))\cap L^2([0,T];H_0^1(\mathcal{O}))$ equipped with the norm:

$$\begin{aligned}\| v \|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 &= \| v \|^2_{L^\infty([0,T];L^2(\mathcal{O}))}+\| v \|^2_{L^2([0,T];H_0^1(\mathcal{O}))}\\ &= \sup_{t\in[0,T[}\| v_t \|^2+\int_0^T\big(\| v_t \|^2+\mathcal{E}(v_t)\big)\,dt.\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{C}$ denotes the space of continuous functions with compact support in $[0,T[\times\mathcal{O}$ and finally:

$$\mathcal{W}=\{\varphi\in L^2([0,T];H_0^1(\mathcal{O}));\ \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\in L^2([0,T];H^{-1}(\mathcal{O}))\},$$

endowed with the norm$\| \varphi \|_{\mathcal{W}}^2=\| \varphi \|^2_{L^2([0,T];H_0^1(\mathcal{O}))}+\| \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\|^2_{L^2([0,T];H^{-1}(\mathcal{O}))}$.
It is known (see [18]) that $\mathcal{W}$ is continuously embedded in $C([0,T];L^2(\mathcal{O}))$, the set of $L^2(\mathcal{O})$-valued continuous functions on $[0,T]$. So without ambiguity, we will also consider $\mathcal{W}_T=\{\varphi\in\mathcal{W};\varphi(T)=0\}$, $\mathcal{W}^+=\{\varphi\in\mathcal{W};\varphi\geq0\}$, $\mathcal{W}_T^+=\mathcal{W}_T\cap\mathcal{W}^+$.

Definition 2.1. *An element $v\in\mathcal{K}$ is said to be a* **parabolic potential** *if it satisfies:*

$$\forall\varphi\in\mathcal{W}_T^+,\ \int_0^T-(\frac{\partial\varphi_t}{\partial t},v_t)dt+\int_0^T\mathcal{E}(\varphi_t,v_t)dt\geq0.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the set of all parabolic potentials.

The next representation property is crucial:

Proposition 2.2. *(Proposition 1.1 in [23]) Let $v\in\mathcal{P}$, then there exists a unique positive Radon measure on $[0,T[\times\mathcal{O}$, denoted by ν^v, such that:*

$$\forall\varphi\in\mathcal{W}_T\cap\mathcal{C},\ \int_0^T(-\frac{\partial\varphi_t}{\partial t},v_t)dt+\int_0^T\mathcal{E}(\varphi_t,v_t)dt=\int_0^T\int_{\mathcal{O}}\varphi(t,x)d\nu^v.$$

Moreover, v admits a right-continuous (resp. left-continuous) version $\hat{v}$ (resp. $\bar{v}$) $:[0,T]\mapsto L^2(\mathcal{O})$.
Such a Radon measure, ν^v is called **a regular measure** *and we write:*

$$\nu^v=\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+Av.$$

Definition 2.3. *Let $K\subset[0,T[\times\mathcal{O}$ be compact, $v\in\mathcal{P}$ is said to be $\nu-$superior than 1 on K, if there exists a sequence $v_n\in\mathcal{P}$ with $v_n\geq1$ a.e. on a neighborhood of K converging to v in $L^2([0,T];H_0^1(\mathcal{O}))$.*

We denote:

$$\mathscr{S}_K=\{v\in\mathcal{P};\ v\ is\ \nu-superior\ to\ 1\ on\ K\}.$$

Proposition 2.4. *(Proposition 2.1 in [23]) Let $K \subset [0,T[\times\mathcal{O}$ compact, then $\mathscr{S}_K$ admits a smallest $v_K \in \mathcal{P}$ and the measure ν_K^v whose support is in K satisfies*

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} d\nu_K^v = \inf_{v\in\mathcal{P}} \{ \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} d\nu^v ;\ v \in \mathscr{S}_K \}.$$

Definition 2.5. *(Parabolic Capacity)*

- *Let $K \subset [0,T[\times\mathcal{O}$ be compact, we define cap$(K) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} d\nu_K^v$;*
- *let $O \subset [0,T[\times\mathcal{O}$ be open, we define cap$(O) = \sup\{cap(K);\ K \subset O$ compact$\}$;*
- *for any borelian $E \subset [0,T[\times\mathcal{O}$, we define cap$(E) = \inf\{cap(O);\ O \supset E$ open$\}$.*

Definition 2.6. *A property is said to hold quasi-everywhere (in short q.e.) if it holds outside a set of null capacity.*

Definition 2.7. *(Quasi-continuity)*
A function $u : [0,T[\times\mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called quasi-continuous, if there exists a decreasing sequence of open subsets O_n of $[0,T[\times\mathcal{O}$ with:

1. *for all n, the restriction of u_n to the complement of O_n is continuous;*
2. *$\lim_{n\to+\infty}$ cap $(O_n) = 0$.*

We say that u admits a quasi-continuous version, if there exists $\tilde{u}$ quasi-continuous such that $\tilde{u} = u$ a.e.

The next proposition, whose proof may be found in [22] or [23] shall play an important role in the sequel:

Proposition 2.8. *Let $K \subset \mathcal{O}$ a compact set, then $\forall t \in [0,T[$*

$$cap(\{t\} \times K) = \lambda_d(K),$$

where λ_d is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathcal{O}$.
As a consequence, if $u : [0,T[\times\mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a map defined quasi-everywhere then it defines uniquely a map from $[0,T[$ into $L^2(\mathcal{O})$. In other words, for any $t \in [0,T[$, u_t is defined without any ambiguity as an element in $L^2(\mathcal{O})$. Moreover, if $u \in \mathcal{P}$, it admits version $\bar{u}$ which is left continuous on $[0,T]$ with values in $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ so that $u_T = \bar{u}_{T^-}$ is also defined without ambiguity.

Remark 2.3. *The previous proposition applies if for example u is quasi-continuous.*

To establish a maximum principle for local solutions we need to define the notion of *local regular measures*:

Definition 2.9. *We say that a Radon measure ν on $[0,T[\times\mathcal{O}$ is a local regular measure if for any non-negative ϕ in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})$, $\phi\nu$ is a regular measure.*

Proposition 2.10. *Local regular measures do not charge polar sets (i.e. sets of capacity 0).*

Proof. Let A be a polar set and consider a sequence (ϕ_n) in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})$, $0 \le \phi_n \le 1$, converging to 1 everywhere on $\mathcal{O}$. By Fatou's lemma,

$$0 \le \int_{[0,T[\times\mathcal{O}} 1\!\!1_A d\nu(x,t) \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_{[0,T[\times\mathcal{O}} 1\!\!1_A \phi_n d\nu(x,t) = 0.$$

□

We end this part by a convergence lemma which plays an important role in our approach (Lemma 3.8 in [23]):

Lemma 2.11. *If $v^n \in \mathcal{P}$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{K}$ and converges weakly to v in $L^2([0,T];H_0^1(\mathcal{O}))$; if u is a quasi-continuous function and $|u|$ is bounded by an element in $\mathcal{P}$. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_0^T\int_{\mathcal{O}} u d\nu^{v^n} = \int_0^T\int_{\mathcal{O}} u d\nu^{v}.$$

We now give the assumptions on the obstacle that we shall need in the different cases that we shall consider.

Assumption (O): The obstacle $S : [0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathcal{O}\to\mathbb{R}$ is an adapted random field almost surely quasi-continuous, in the sense that for P-almost all $\omega\in\Omega$, the map $(t,x)\to S_t(\omega,x)$ is quasi-continuous. Moreover, $S_0\le\xi$ P-almost surely and S is controlled by the solution of an SPDE, i.e. $\forall t\in[0,T]$,

$$S_t \le S'_t, \quad dP\otimes dt\otimes dx - a.e. \tag{7}$$

where S' is the solution of the linear SPDE

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} dS'_t &=& LS'_tdt + f'_tdt + \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i g'_{i,t}dt + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} h'_{j,t}dB^j_t \\ S'(0) &=& S'_0, \end{array}\right. \tag{8}$$

with null boundary Dirichlet conditions.

Assumption (OL): The obstacle $S : [0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathcal{O}\to\mathbb{R}$ is an adapted random field, almost surely quasi-continuous, such that $S_0\le\xi$ P-almost surely and controlled by a **local** solution of an SPDE, i.e. $\forall t\in[0,T]$,

$$S_t \le S'_t, \quad dP\otimes dt\otimes dx - a.e.$$

where S' is a **local** solution (for the definition of local solution see for example Definition 1 in [7]) of the linear SPDE

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} dS'_t &=& LS'_tdt + f'_tdt + \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i g'_{i,t}dt + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} h'_{j,t}dB^j_t \\ S'(0) &=& S'_0. \end{array}\right.$$

Assumption (HO2)

$$E\left(\|\xi\|_2^2 + \left\|f'\right\|_{2,2;T}^2 + \left\||g'|\right\|_{2,2;T}^2 + \left\||h'|\right\|_{2,2;T}^2\right) < \infty.$$

Assumption (HO#)

$$E\left(\|S'_0\|_2^2 + \left(\left\|f'\right\|_{\#;T}^*\right)^2 + \left\||g'|\right\|_{2,2;T}^2 + \left\||h'|\right\|_{2,2;T}^2\right) < \infty.$$

Assumption (HOL)

$$E\int_K |S_0'|^2dx + E\int_0^T\int_K \left(|f_t'(x)|^2+|g_t'(x)|^2+|h_t'(x)|^2\right)dxdt<\infty$$

for any compact set $K\subset\mathcal{O}$.

Remark 2.4. *It is well-known that under* **(HO2)** S' *belongs to* $\mathcal{H}_T$, *is unique and satisfies the following estimate:*

$$E\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\parallel S_t'\parallel^2 + E\int_0^T\mathcal{E}(S_t')dt\le CE\left[\parallel S_0'\parallel^2+\int_0^T(\parallel f_t'\parallel^2+\parallel |g_t'|\parallel^2+\parallel |h_t'|\parallel^2)dt\right], \tag{9}$$

see for example Theorem 8 in [4]. Moreover, as a consequence of Theorem 3 in [9], we know that S' *admits a quasi-continuous version.*
Under the weaker condition **(HO#)**, S' *also exists, is unique and satisfies the following estimate (see Theorem 3 in [7]):*

$$E\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\parallel S_t'\parallel^2 + E\int_0^T\mathcal{E}(S_t')dt\le CE\left[\parallel S_0'\parallel^2+\int_0^T\left((\parallel f_t'\parallel_{\#}^*)^2+\parallel |g_t'|\parallel^2+\parallel |h_t'|\parallel^2\right)dt\right]. \tag{10}$$

Definition 2.12. *A pair* (u,ν) *is said to be a solution of the problem (1) with Dirichlet boundary condition if*

1. $u\in\mathcal{H}_T$, $u(t,x)\ge S(t,x)$, $dP\otimes dt\otimes dx-a.e.$ *and* $u_0(x)=\xi$, $dP\otimes dx-a.e.$;
2. ν *is a random regular measure defined on* $[0,T[\times\mathcal{O}$;
3. *the following relation holds almost surely, for all* $t\in[0,T]$ *and all* $\varphi\in\mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(u_t,\varphi_t)=&(\xi,\varphi_0)+\int_0^t(u_s,\partial_s\varphi_s)ds-\int_0^t\mathcal{E}(u_s,\varphi_s)ds\\&-\sum_{i=1}^d\int_0^t(g_s^i(u_s,\nabla u_s),\partial_i\varphi_s)ds+\int_0^t(f_s(u_s,\nabla u_s),\varphi_s)ds\\&+\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\int_0^t(h_s^j(u_s,\nabla u_s),\varphi_s)dB_s^j+\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}\varphi_s(x)\nu(dx,ds);\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

4. u *admits a quasi-continuous version,* $\tilde{u}$, *and we have*

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathcal{O}}(\tilde{u}(s,x)-S(s,x))\nu(dx,ds)=0,\quad P-a.s.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{R}(\xi,f,g,h,S)$ the solution of the obstacle problem when it exists and it is unique.

Definition 2.13. *A pair* (u,ν) *is said to be a local solution of the problem (1) if*

1. $u\in\mathcal{H}_{loc}$, $u(t,x)\ge S(t,x)$, $dP\otimes dt\otimes dx-a.e.$ *and* $u_0(x)=\xi$, $dP\otimes dx-a.e.$;
2. ν *is a local random regular measure defined on* $[0,T[\times\mathcal{O}$;

3. the following relation holds almost surely, for all $t \in [0,T]$ and all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(u_t, \varphi_t) =& (\xi, \varphi_0) + \int_0^t (u_s, \partial_s \varphi_s) ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{E}(u_s, \varphi_s) ds \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t (g_s^i(u_s, \nabla u_s), \partial_i \varphi_s) ds + \int_0^t (f_s(u_s, \nabla u_s), \varphi_s) ds \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \int_0^t (h_s^j(u_s, \nabla u_s), \varphi_s) dB_s^j + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_s(x) \nu(dx, ds);\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

4. u admits a quasi-continuous version, $\tilde{u}$, and we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\tilde{u}(s,x) - S(s,x)) \nu(dx, ds) = 0, \quad P - a.s.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{R}_{loc}(\xi, f, g, h, S)$ the set of all the local solutions (u, ν).
Finally, in the sequel, we introduce some constants ϵ, $\delta > 0$, we shall denote by C_ϵ, C_δ some constants depending only on ϵ, δ, typically those appearing in the kind of inequality

$$|ab| \leq \epsilon a^2 + C_\epsilon b^2.$$

3. Existence, uniqueness and estimates for the solutions with null Dirichlet condition under a weaker integrability condition

In this section, we prove the existence and uniqueness under a weaker integrability on f^0 and the obstacle S, improving the results obtained in [9], Theorem 4 and then give an Itô formula and estimate for the positive part of the solution, which is a crucial step leading to the maximum principle. Let us note that these results have been established in the case of SPDE without obstacle (see Section 3 in [7] and [8]).
All along this section, we suppose that **(H)**, **(O)**, **(HI#)** and **(HO#)** hold.

3.1. Existence, uniqueness and estimates for the solutions

To get the estimates we need, we apply Itô's formula to $u - S'$, in order to take advantage of the fact that $S - S'$ is non-positive and that as u is solution of (1) and S' satisfies (8), $u - S'$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} d(u_t - S'_t) = \partial_i(a_{i,j}(x) \partial_j(u_t(x) - S'_t(x))) dt + (f(t,x,u_t(x), \nabla u_t(x)) - f'(t,x)) dt \\ \ + \partial_i(g_i(t,x,u_t(x), \nabla u_t(x)) - g'_i(t,x)) dt + (h_j(t,x,u_t(x), \nabla u_t(x)) - h'_j(t,x)) dB_t^j \\ \ + \nu(x, dt), \\ (u - S')_0 = \xi - S'_0 \,, \\ u - S' \geq S - S' \,. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

that is why we introduce the following functions:

$$\bar{f}(t, \omega, x, y, z) = f(t, \omega, x, y + S'_t, z + \nabla S'_t) - f'(t, \omega, x)$$

$$\bar{g}(t,\omega,x,y,z) = g(t,\omega,x,y+S'_t,z+\nabla S'_t) - g'(t,\omega,x)$$

$$\bar{h}(t,\omega,x,y,z) = h(t,\omega,x,y+S'_t,z+\nabla S'_t) - h'(t,\omega,x).$$

Let us remark that the Skohorod condition for $u - S'$ is satisfied since

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} (u_s(x) - S'_s(x)) - (S_s(x) - S'_s(x))\nu(ds,dx) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} (u_s(x) - S_s(x))\nu(ds,dx) = 0.$$

It is obvious that $\bar{f}$, $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{h}$ satisfy the Lipschitz conditions with the same Lipschitz coefficients as f, g and h. Then, using Remark 2.2, we check the integrability conditions for $\bar{f}^0$, $\bar{g}^0$ and $\bar{h}^0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{f}^0\|^*_{\#;T} &= \|f(S',\nabla S') - f'\|^*_{\#;T} \le \|f(S',\nabla S')\|^*_{\#;T} + \|f'\|^*_{\#;T} \\
&\le \|f^0\|^*_{\#;T} + C\|S'\|^*_{\#;T} + C\|\nabla S'\|^*_{\#;T} + \|f'\|^*_{\#;T} \\
&\le \|f^0\|^*_{\#;T} + C\sqrt{t}\|S'\|_{2,2;T} + C\sqrt{T}\|\nabla S'\|_{2,2;T} + \|f'\|^*_{\#;T}.
\end{aligned}$$

We know that (see Remark 2.4):

$$E\left(\|S'\|^2_{2,2;T} + \|\nabla S'\|^2_{2,2;T}\right) < \infty.$$

Hence, for each t, we have

$$E\left(\|\bar{f}^0\|^*_{\#;T}\right)^2 < \infty.$$

We also have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{g}^0\|_{2,2;T} &= \|\bar{g}(S',\nabla S') - g'\|_{2,2;T} \le \|\bar{g}(S',\nabla S')\|_{2,2;T} + \|g'\|_{2,2;T} \\
&\le \|g^0\|_{2,2;T} + C\|S'\|_{2,2;T} + \alpha\|\nabla S'\|_{2,2;T} + \|g'\|_{2,2;T} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

And the same thing for $\bar{h}$. Hence,

$$E\left(\left(\|\bar{f}\|^*_{\#;T}\right)^2 + \|\bar{g}\|^2_{2,2;T} + \|\bar{h}\|^2_{2,2;T}\right) < \infty. \tag{14}$$

We now state the main Theorem of this subsection:

Theorem 3.1. *Under conditions* **(H)**, **(O)**, **(HI#)** *and* **(HO#)**, *the obstacle problem (1) with Dirichlet boundary condition admits a unique solution (u,ν), where u is in $\mathcal{H}_T$ and ν is a random regular measure.*

For the proof of this theorem, we need the following two lemmas whose proofs are given in the appendix. The first lemma concerns Itô's formula for the solution of SPDE (1) without obstacle under **(H)** and **(HI#)**. Let us remark that in [7], the existence and uniqueness result has been established but not Itô's formula.

Lemma 3.2. *Under the assumptions* **(H)** *and* **(HI#)**, *the SPDE (1) without obstacle with null boundary condition admits a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{H}_T$. Moreover, it satisfies Itô's* *formula i.e. if $\varphi:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ is a function of class C^2 such that φ'' is bounded and $\varphi'(0)=0$, then the following relation holds almost surely, for all $t\in[0,T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathcal{O}}\varphi\left(u_t\left(x\right)\right)dx+\int_0^t\mathcal{E}\left(\varphi'\left(u_s\right),u_s\right)ds=\int_{\mathcal{O}}\varphi\left(\xi\left(x\right)\right)dx+\int_0^t\left(\varphi'\left(u_s\right),f_s\left(u_s,\nabla u_s\right)\right)ds\\&-\int_0^t\sum_{i=1}^d\left(\partial_i\left(\varphi'\left(u_s\right)\right),g_{i,s}(u_s,\nabla u_s)\right)ds+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\left(\varphi''\left(u_s\right),|h_s(u_s,\nabla u_s)|^2\right)ds\\&+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t\left(\varphi'\left(u_s\right),h_{j,s}(u_s,\nabla u_s)\right)dB_s^j.\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

The following lemma will be helpful in showing that the solution to problem (1) is quasi-continuous.

Lemma 3.3. *The following PDE with random coefficient f^0 and zero Dirichlet boundary condition*

$$\begin{cases}dw_t+Aw_tdt=f_t^0dt\\w_0=0\end{cases}\tag{16}$$

has a unique solution $w\in\mathcal{H}_T$. Moreover, w admits a quasi-continuous version.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We split the proof in 2 steps:

Step 1. We prove an existence and uniqueness result for the problem (1) under the stronger conditions **(H)**, **(O)**, **(HI2)** and **(HO#)**. The idea of the proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 4 in [9].
We begin with the linear case i.e. we assume that f, g and h do not depend on $(u,\nabla u)$, this implies that $f=f^0$, $g=g^0$ and $h=h^0$. We consider the following penalized equation:

$$d(u_t^n-S_t')=L(u_t^n-S_t')dt+\bar{f}_tdt+\sum_{i=1}^d\partial_i\bar{g}_t^idt+\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\bar{h}_t^jdB_t^j+n(u_t^n-S_t)^-dt$$

where $\bar{f}=f-f'$, $\bar{g}=g-g'$and $\bar{h}=h-h'$. Applying Itô's formula (15) to $(u^n-S')^2$, we have almost surely for all $t\in[0,T]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\parallel u_t^n-S_t'\parallel^2+2\int_0^t\mathcal{E}(u_s^n-S_s')ds&=\parallel\xi-S_0'\parallel^2+2\int_0^t((u_s^n-S_s'),\bar{f}_s)ds\\&-2\sum_{i=1}^d\int_0^t(\partial_i(u_s^n-S_s'),\bar{g}_s^i)ds+2\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\int_0^t((u_s^n-S_s'),\bar{h}_s^j)dB_s^j\\&+2\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}(u_s^n-S_s')n(u_s^n-S_s)^-ds+\int_0^t\parallel|\bar{h}_s|\parallel^2ds.\end{aligned}$$

We remark first that:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}(u_s^n-S_s')n(u_s^n-S_s)^-ds=\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}(u_s^n-S_s+S_s-S_s')n(u_s^n-S_s)^-ds\\&=-\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}n((u_s^n-S_s)^-)^2ds+\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}(S_s-S_s')n(u_s^n-S_s)^-dxds.\end{aligned}$$

The last term in the right member is non-positive because $S_t \le S'_t$, thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\| u^n_t - S'_t \|^2 &+ 2\int_0^t \mathcal{E}(u^n_s - S'_s)ds + 2\int_0^t n \, \| (u^n_s - S_s)^- \|^2 \, ds \le \| \xi - S'_0 \|^2 \\ &+ 2\int_0^t (u^n_s - S'_s, \bar f_s)ds - 2\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t (\partial_i(u^n_s - S'_s), \bar g^i_s)ds \\ &+ 2\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\int_0^t (u^n_s - S'_s, \bar h^j_s)dB^j_s + \int_0^t \| \, |\bar h_s| \, \|^2 \, ds, \quad a.s.\end{aligned}$$

Then, Hölder's duality inequality (5) and the relation (4) lead to the following estimates, for all t in $[0,T]$, for any δ, $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}2|\int_0^t (u^n_s - S'_s, \bar f_s)ds| &\le \delta \left\| u^n - S' \right\|^2_{\#;T} + C_\delta \left(\left\| \bar f \right\|^*_{\#;T} \right)^2 \\ &\le C\delta \left(\left\| u^n - S' \right\|^2_{2,\infty;T} + \left\| \nabla(u^n - S') \right\|^2_{2,2;T} \right) + C_\delta \left(\left\| \bar f \right\|^*_{\#;T} \right)^2,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$2|\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t (\partial_i(u^n_s - S'_s), \bar g^i_s)ds| \le \epsilon \left\| \nabla(u^n - S') \right\|^2_{2,2;T} + C_\epsilon \left\| |\bar g| \right\|^2_{2,2;T}.$$

Moreover, thanks to the Burkholder-Davies-Gundy inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}E\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\int_0^t (u^n_s - S'_s, \bar h^j_s)dB^j_s| &\le c_1 E[\int_0^T \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (u^n_s - S'_s, \bar h^j_s)^2 ds]^{1/2} \\ &\le c_1 E[\int_0^T \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \sup_{s\in[0,T]} \| u^n_s - S'_s \|^2 \| \bar h^j_s \|^2 \, ds]^{1/2} \\ &\le c_1 E[\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \| u^n_s - S'_s \| (\int_0^T \| \, |\bar h_s| \, \|^2 \, ds)^{1/2}] \\ &\le \epsilon E \sup_{s\in[0,T]} \| u^n_s - S'_s \|^2 + \frac{c_1}{4\epsilon} E\int_0^T \| \, |\bar h_s| \, \|^2 \, ds.\end{aligned}$$

Then using the strict ellipticity assumption and the inequalities above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(1 - 2\epsilon - C\delta)E\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \| u^n_t - S'_t \|^2 + (2\lambda - \epsilon - C\delta)E\int_0^T \| \nabla(u^n_s - S'_s) \|^2 \, ds \\ &\le C(E \| \xi - S'_0 \|^2 + E(\| \bar f \|^*_{\#;T})^2 + E \| \, |\bar g| \, \|^2_{2,2;T} + E \| \, |\bar h| \, \|^2_{2,2;T}).\end{aligned}$$

We take ϵ and δ small enough such that $(1 - 2\epsilon - C\delta) > 0$ and $(2\lambda - \epsilon - C\delta) > 0$,

$$E\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \| u^n_t - S'_t \|^2 + E\int_0^T \mathcal{E}(u^n_t - S'_t)dt \le C.$$

Then, to prove the existence and uniqueness in this case, we can follow line by line the proof based on a weak convergence argument given in [9], Theorem 4. The only difference is that now the estimates depend on $\|\bar{f}^0\|_{\#;t}$ instead of $\|\bar{f}^0\|_{2,2;t}$.

Step 2. Now we turn to the general case, i.e. assume **(H)**, **(O)**, **(HI#)** and **(HO#)**. We consider the following SPDE:

$$dw_t + Aw_t dt = f_t^0 dt \tag{17}$$

Thus $u - w$ satisfies the following OSPDE:

$$\begin{aligned} d(u_t - w_t) + A(u_t - w_t)dt &= F_t(u_t - w_t, \nabla(u_t - w_t))dt + divG_t(u_t - w_t, \nabla(u_t - w_t))dt \\ &+ H_t(u_t - w_t, \nabla(u_t - w_t))dB_t + \nu(x, dt), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_t(x,y,z) &= f_t(x, y+w, z+\nabla w) - f_t^0(x) \\ G_t(x,y,z) &= g_t(x, y+w, z+\nabla w) \\ H_t(x,y,z) &= h_t(x, y+w, z+\nabla w). \end{aligned}$$

We can easily check that F, G and H satisfy the same Lipschitz conditions as f, g and h and also $F^0 \in L^2(\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathcal{O};\mathbb{R})$, $G^0 \in L^2(\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathcal{O};\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $H^0 \in L^2(\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathcal{O};\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}^*})$. Moreover, $u - w \geq S - w$ and $S - w \leq S' - w$ where $S' - w$ satisfies the following SPDE:

$$d(S'_t - w_t) + A(S'_t - w_t)dt = (f'_t - f_t^0)dt + divg'_t dt + h'_t dB_t.$$

It is easy to see that $f' - f$, g' and h' satisfy **(HO#)**. Therefore, from Step 1, we know that $(u - w, \nu)$ uniquely exists.
Combining with the existence and uniqueness of w, we deduce that the solution of the problem (1) uniquely exists under the weaker assumptions **(HI#)** and **(HO#)**.
And the quasi-continuity of u comes from the quasi-continuity of w and $u - w$. □

3.2. Estimates of the positive part of the solution with null boundary condition

We recall that we assume that **(H)**, **(HI#)**, **(O)** and **(HO#)** are fulfilled. By Theorem 3.1, we know that the problem (1) admits a unique solution with null Dirichlet boundary conditions that we still denote by (u, ν). Now we establish an Itô formula for (u, ν).

Theorem 3.4. *Let (u,ν) be the solution of OSPDE (1) with Dirichlet boundary condition and $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class C^2 and assume that φ'' is bounded and $\varphi'(0) = 0$. Then the following relation holds a.s. for all $t \in [0,T]$:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi(u_t(x))\,dx + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}(\varphi'(u_s), u_s)\,ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi(\xi(x))\,dx + \int_0^t (\varphi'(u_s), f_s(u_s, \nabla u_s))\,ds \\ &- \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^d (\partial_i(\varphi'(u_s)), g_{i,s}(u_s, \nabla u_s))\,ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \left(\varphi''(u_s), |h_s(u_s, \nabla u_s)|^2\right) ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^t (\varphi'(u_s), h_{j,s}(u_s, \nabla u_s))\,dB_s^j + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'(u_s)\nu(dxds). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The idea is that we begin with the stronger case, where **(H)**, **(O)**, **(HI2)** and **(HO#)** hold. In this case we have the Itô formula, see step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Then using an approximation argument we can obtain the Itô formula in the general case. More precisely:
We take the function $f_n(\omega,t,x) := f(\omega,t,x,u,\nabla u) - f^0 + f^0_n$, where f^0_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, is a sequence of bounded functions such that $E\left(\|f^0 - f^0_n\|^*_{\#;t}\right)^2 \to 0,\ as\ n \to +\infty$. We consider the following equation

$$du^n_t(x) + Au^n_t(x)dt = f^n_t(x)dt + div\breve{g}_t(x)dt + \breve{h}_t(x)dB_t + \nu^n(x,dt)$$

where $\breve{g}(\omega,t,x) = g(\omega,t,x,u,\nabla u)$ and $\breve{h}(\omega,t,x) = h(\omega,t,x,u,\nabla u)$. This is a linear equation in u^n so from Theorem 3.1, we know that (u^n,ν^n) uniquely exists.
Applying Itô's formula for the difference of two solutions to $(u^n - u^m)^2$ (see Theorem 6 in [9]), we have, almost surely, for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u^n_t - u^m_t\|^2 + 2\int_0^t \mathcal{E}(u^n_s - u^m_s)ds &= 2\int_0^t (u^n_s - u^m_s, f^n_s - f^m_s)ds \\ &+ 2\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} (u^n_s - u^m_s)(\nu^n - \nu^m)(dxds).\end{aligned}$$

Remarking that

$$\int\int (u_n - u_m)(\nu_n - \nu_m)(dxds) = \int\int (S - u_m)\nu_n(dxds) - \int\int (u_n - S)\nu_m(dxds) \le 0$$

and for $\delta > 0$, we have

$$2\left|\int_0^t (u^n_s - u^m_s, f^n_s - f^m_s)ds\right| \le \delta\|u^n - u^m\|^2_{\#;t} + C_\delta\left(\|f^n - f^m\|^*_{\#;t}\right)^2.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}(u^n - u^m) \ge \lambda\|\nabla(u^n - u^m)\|^2_2$, we deduce that, for all $t \in [0,T]$, almost surely,

$$\|u^n_t - u^m_t\|^2 + 2\lambda\|\nabla(u^n - u^m)\|^2_{2,2;t} \le \delta\|u^n - u^m\|^2_{\#;t} + C_\delta\left(\|f^n - f^m\|^*_{\#;t}\right)^2 \tag{18}$$

Taking the supremum and the expectation, we get

$$E\left(\|u^n - u^m\|^2_{2,\infty;t} + \|\nabla(u^n - u^m)\|^2_{2,2;t}\right) \le \delta E\|u^n - u^m\|^2_{\#;t} + C_\delta E\left(\|f^n - f^m\|^*_{\#;t}\right)^2.$$

Dominating the term $E\|u^n - u^m\|^2_{\#;t}$ by using the estimate (4) and taking δ small enough, we obtain the following estimate:

$$E\left(\|u_n - u_m\|^2_{2,\infty;t} + \|\nabla(u_n - u_m)\|^2_{2,2;t}\right) \le 2C_\delta E\left(\|f^n - f^m\|^*_{\#;t}\right)^2 \to 0,\ when\ n,\ m \to \infty$$

Therefore, $(u^n)_n$ has a limit u in $\mathcal{H}_T$.
Now we want to find the limit of $(\nu^n)_n$: we denote by v^n the parabolic potential associated to ν^n, and $z^n = u^n - v^n$, so z^n satisfies the following SPDE

$$dz^n_t(x) + Az^n_t(x)dt = f^n_t(x)dt - \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i\breve{g}^i_t(x)dt + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \breve{h}^j_t(x)\,dB^j_t.$$

Applying Itô's formula to $(z^n - z^m)^2$, doing the same calculus as before, we obtain the following relation:

$$E\left(\|z_n - z_m\|^2_{2,\infty;t} + \|\nabla(z_n - z_m)\|^2_{2,2;t}\right) \le 2C_\delta E\left(\|f^n - f^m\|^*_{\#;t}\right)^2 \longrightarrow 0, \quad as\ n,\, m \to \infty.$$

As a consequence:

$$E\left(\|v_n - v_m\|^2_{2,\infty;t} + \|\nabla(v_n - v_m)\|^2_{2,2;t}\right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad as\ n,\, m \to \infty.$$

Therefore, $(v^n)_n$ has a limit v in $\mathcal{H}_T$. So, by extracting a subsequence, we can assume that $(v^n)_n$ converges to v in $\mathcal{K}$, $P-$almost-surely. Then, it's clear that $v \in \mathcal{P}$, and we denote by ν the regular random measure associated to the potential v. Moreover, we have $P-a.s.$ $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{W}_t^+$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi(x,s)\nu(dxds) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi(x,s)\nu^n(dxds) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^t -(v_s^n, \frac{\partial \varphi_s}{\partial s})ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}(v_s^n, \varphi_s)ds \\ &= \int_0^t -(v_s, \frac{\partial \varphi_s}{\partial s})ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}(v_s, \varphi_s)ds.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (u^n, ν^n) converges to (u, ν). Moreover, by Theorem 3.1, we know that the solution of problem (1) uniquely exists and we apply Itô's formula for (u^n, ν^n) : $\forall t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi\left(u_t^n(x)\right)dx + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}\left(\varphi'(u_s^n), u_s^n\right)ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi\left(\xi(x)\right)dx + \int_0^t \left(\varphi'(u_s^n), f_s^n\right)ds \\ &- \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\partial_i\left(\varphi'(u_s^n)\right), \breve{g}_s^i\right)ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \left(\varphi''(u_s^n), \left|\breve{h}_s\right|^2\right)ds + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^t \left(\varphi'(u_s^n), \breve{h}_s^j\right)dB_s^j \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'(u_s^n)\nu^n(dxds) \qquad a.s.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Now, we pass to the limit as n tends to $+\infty$. First, by using Lemma 2.11 and Skohorod condition, we have

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'(u_s^n)\nu^n(dxds) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'(S_s)\nu^n(dxds) \to \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'(S_s)\nu(dxds) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'(u_s)\nu(dxds).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_0^t \left(\varphi'(u_s^n), f_s^n\right)ds - \int_0^t \left(\varphi'(u_s), f_s\right)ds\right| \\ \le\ & \left|\int_0^t \left(\varphi'(u_s^n) - \varphi'(u_s), f_s^n\right)ds\right| + \left|\int_0^t \left(\varphi'(u_s), f_s^n - f_s\right)ds\right| \\ \le\ & C\|u^n - u\|_{\#;t}\|f^n\|^*_{\#;t} + C\|u\|_{\#;t}\|f^n - f\|^*_{\#;t}\,.\end{aligned}$$

The relation (4) and the strong convergence of $(u^n)_n$ yield that $E\left\|u^n-u\right\|_{\#;t}\to 0$, as $n\to+\infty$. So, by extracting a subsequence, we can assume that the right member in the previous inequality tends to 0 $P-$almost surely as n tends to $+\infty$. So we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_0^t\left(\varphi'\left(u_s^n\right),f_s^n\right)ds=\int_0^t\left(\varphi'\left(u_s\right),f_s\right)ds.$$

The convergences of the other terms in (19) are easily deduced from the strong convergence of $(u^n)_n$ to u in $\mathcal{H}_T$ and then we deduce the desired formula. □

This yields the estimate of the $\mathcal{H}_T$-norm of u under **(HI#)**:

Proposition 3.5. *Under the same hypotheses and notations as in the previous theorem, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned}E\left(\|u\|_{2,\infty;t}^2+\|\nabla u\|_{2,2;t}^2\right) &\leq k(t)E(\left\|\xi-S_0'\right\|_2^2+\left(\left\|\bar{f}^0\right\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2+\left\|\bar{g}^0\right\|_{2,2;t}^2+\left\|\bar{h}^0\right\|_{2,2;t}^2\\ &+\left\|S_0'\right\|_2^2+\left(\left\|f'\right\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2+\left\|g'\right\|_{2,2;t}^2+\left\|h'\right\|_{2,2;t}^2)\end{aligned}$$

for each $t\in[0,T]$, where $k(t)$ is a constant that only depends on the structure constants and t.

Proof. Since $(u-S',\nu)=\mathcal{R}(\xi-S_0',\bar{f},\bar{g},\bar{h},S-S')$, applying the above Itô formula to $(u-S')^2$,we have, almost surely, for all $t\in[0,T]$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|u_t-S_t'\right\|^2+2\int_0^t\mathcal{E}(u_s-S_s')ds=\left\|\xi-S_0'\right\|^2+2\int_0^t(u_s-S_s',\bar{f}_s(u_s-S_s',\nabla(u_s-S_s')))ds\\ &-2\int_0^t(\nabla(u_s-S_s'),\bar{g}_s(u_s-S_s',\nabla(u_s-S_s'))ds+2\int_0^t(u_s-S_s',\bar{h}_s(u_s-S_s',\nabla(u_s-S_s'))dB_s\\ &+\int_0^t\left\|\bar{h}_s(u_s-S_s',\nabla(u_s-S_s'))\right\|^2ds+2\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}(u_s-S_s')(x)\nu(dxds).\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

Then, we remark that

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}(u_s-S_s')\nu(dxds)\leq\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}(u_s-S_s)\nu(dxds)=0.$$

The Lipschitz conditions in $\bar{f}$, $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{h}$ and Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality lead the following relations: for δ, $\epsilon>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\left(u_s-S_s',\bar{f}_s\left(u_s-S_s',\nabla(u_s-S_s')\right)\right)ds &\leq \epsilon\left\|\nabla(u-S')\right\|_{2,2;t}^2+c_\epsilon\left\|u-S'\right\|_{2,2;t}^2\\ &+\delta\left\|u-S'\right\|_{\#;t}^2+c_\delta\left(\left\|\bar{f}^0\right\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t(\nabla(u_s-S_s'),\bar{g}_s(u_s-S_s',\nabla(u_s-S_s'))ds &\leq (\alpha+\epsilon)\left\|\nabla(u-S')\right\|_{2,2;t}^2\\ &+c_\epsilon\left\|u-S'\right\|_{2,2;t}^2+c_\epsilon\left\|\bar{g}^0\right\|_{2,2;t}^2,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^t \left\|\bar{h}_s(u_s - S'_s, \nabla(u_s - S'_s))\right\|^2 ds \leq (\beta^2 + \epsilon) \left\|\nabla(u - S')\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 + c_\epsilon \left\|u - S'\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 + c_\epsilon \left\|\bar{h}^0\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 .$$

Since $\mathcal{E}(u - S') \geq \lambda \left\|\nabla(u - S')\right\|_2^2$, we deduce from (20) that for all $t \in [0, T]$, almost surely,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|u_t - S'_t\right\|_2^2 + 2\left(\lambda - \alpha - \frac{\beta^2}{2} - \frac{5}{2}\epsilon\right) \left\|\nabla(u - S')\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 \leq \left\|\xi - S'_0\right\|_2^2 + \delta \left\|u - S'\right\|_{\#;t}^2 \\ &+ 2c_\delta \left(\left\|\bar{f}^0\right\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2 + 2c_\epsilon \left\|\bar{g}^0\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 + c_\epsilon \left\|\bar{h}^0\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 + 5c_\epsilon \left\|u - S'\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 + 2M_t, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $M_t := \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^t \left(u_s - S'_s, \bar{h}_s^j (u_s - S'_s, \nabla(u_s - S'_s))\right) dB_s^j$ represents the martingale part. Further, using a stopping procedure while taking the expectation, the martingale part vanishes, so that we get

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left\|u_t - S'_t\right\|_2^2 + 2\left(\lambda - \alpha - \frac{\beta^2}{2} - \frac{5}{2}\epsilon\right) E\left\|\nabla(u - S')\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 \leq E\left\|\xi - S'_0\right\|_2^2 + \delta E\left\|u - S'\right\|_{\#;t}^2 \\ &+ 2c_\delta E\left(\left\|\bar{f}^0\right\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2 + 2c_\epsilon E\left\|\bar{g}^0\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 + c_\epsilon E\left\|\bar{h}^0\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 + 5c_\epsilon \int_0^t E\left\|u_s - S'_s\right\|_2^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then we choose $\epsilon = \frac{1}{5}\left(\lambda - \alpha - \frac{\beta^2}{2}\right)$, set $\gamma = \lambda - \alpha - \frac{\beta^2}{2}$ and apply Gronwall's lemma obtaining

$$E\left\|u_t - S'_t\right\|_2^2 + \gamma E\left\|\nabla(u - S')\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 \leq \left(\delta E\left\|u - S'\right\|_{\#;t}^2 + E\left[F\left(\delta, \xi - S'_0, \bar{f}^0, \bar{g}^0, \bar{h}^0, t\right)\right]\right) e^{5c_\epsilon t} \tag{$*$}$$

where $F\left(\delta, \xi - S'_0, \bar{f}^0, \bar{g}^0, \bar{h}^0, t\right) = \left(\left\|\xi - S'_0\right\|^2 + 2c_\delta \left(\left\|\bar{f}^0\right\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2 + 2c_\epsilon \left\|\bar{g}^0\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 + c_\epsilon \left\|\bar{h}^0\right\|_{2,2;t}^2\right)$. As a consequence one gets

$$E\left\|u - S'\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 \leq \frac{1}{5c_\epsilon}\left(\delta E\left\|u - S'\right\|_{\#;t}^2 + E\left[F\left(\delta, \xi - S'_0, \bar{f}^0, \bar{g}^0, \bar{h}^0, t\right)\right]\right)\left(e^{5c_\epsilon t} - 1\right). \tag{$**$}$$

Now we return to the inequality (21) and take the supremum, getting

$$\left\|u - S'\right\|_{2,\infty;t}^2 \leq \delta \left\|u - S'\right\|_{\#;t}^2 + F\left(\delta, \xi - S'_0, \bar{f}^0, \bar{g}^0, \bar{h}^0, t\right) + 5c_\epsilon \left\|u - S'\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 + 2\sup_{s \leq t} M_s \tag{22}$$

We would like to take the expectation in this relation and for that reason we need to estimate the bracket of the martingale part,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M \rangle_t^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \left\|u - S'\right\|_{2,\infty;t} \left\|\bar{h}(u - S', \nabla(u - S'))\right\|_{2,2;t} \\ &\leq \eta \left\|u - S'\right\|_{2,\infty;t}^2 + c_\eta \left(\left\|u - S'\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 + \left\|\nabla(u - S')\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 + \left\|\bar{h}^0\right\|_{2,2;t}^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

with η another small parameter to be properly chosen. Using this estimate and the inequality of Burkholder-Davis-Gundy we deduce from the inequality (22):

$$(1 - 2C_{BDG}\eta) E\left\|u - S'\right\|_{2,\infty;t}^2 \leq \delta E\left\|u - S'\right\|_{\#;t}^2 + E\left[F\left(\delta, \xi - S'_0, \bar{f}^0, \bar{g}^0, \bar{h}^0, t\right)\right]$$

$$+\left(5c_{\varepsilon}+2C_{BDG}c_{\eta}\right)E\left\|u-S'\right\|_{2,2;t}^{2}+2C_{BDG}c_{\eta}E\left\|\nabla(u-S')\right\|_{2,2;t}^{2}+2C_{BDG}c_{\eta}E\left\|\bar{h}^{0}\right\|_{2,2;t}^{2}$$

where C_{BDG} is the constant corresponding to the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality. Further we choose the parameter $\eta=\frac{1}{4C_{BDG}}$ and combine this estimate with (*) and (**) to deduce an estimate of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\left\|u-S'\right\|_{2,\infty;t}^{2}+\left\|\nabla(u-S')\right\|_{2,2;t}^{2}\right) &\leq \delta c_{2}\left(t\right)E\left\|u-S'\right\|_{\#;t}^{2}\\ &+ c_{3}(\delta,t)E\left[R\left(\delta,\xi-S_{0}',\bar{f}^{0},\bar{g}^{0},\bar{h}^{0},t\right)\right]\end{aligned}$$

where $R\left(\delta,\xi-S_{0}',\bar{f}^{0},\bar{g}^{0},\bar{h}^{0},t\right)=\left(\left\|\xi-S_{0}'\right\|^{2}+\left(\left\|\bar{f}^{0}\right\|_{\#;t}^{*}\right)^{2}+\left\|\bar{g}^{0}\right\|_{2,2;t}^{2}+\left\|\bar{h}^{0}\right\|_{2,2;t}^{2}\right)$ and $c_{3}(\delta,t)$ is a constant that depends on δ and t, while $c_{2}\left(t\right)$ is independent of δ. Dominating the term $E\left\|u-S'\right\|_{\#;t}^{2}$ by using the estimate (4) and then choosing $\delta=\frac{1}{2c_{1}^{2}c_{2}(t)}$, we get the following estimate:

$$E\left(\left\|u-S'\right\|_{2,\infty;t}^{2}+\left\|\nabla(u-S')\right\|_{2,2;t}^{2}\right)\leq k(t)E\left(\left\|\xi-S_{0}'\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\left\|\bar{f}^{0}\right\|_{\#;t}^{*}\right)^{2}+\left\|\bar{g}^{0}\right\|_{2,2;t}^{2}+\left\|\bar{h}^{0}\right\|_{2,2;t}^{2}\right).$$

Combining with the estimate for S' (see Remark 2.4), we obtain the estimate asserted by our proposition. □

In the following Proposition, we establish a crucial relation for the positive part of u:

Proposition 3.6. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.4 with same notations, the following relation holds a.s. for all* $t\in[0,T]$*:*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{O}}(u_{t}^{+}(x))^{2}dx+2\int_{0}^{t}\mathcal{E}(u_{s}^{+})ds &= \int_{\mathcal{O}}(\xi^{+}(x))^{2}dx+2\int_{0}^{t}(u_{s}^{+},f_{s}(u_{s},\nabla u_{s}))ds\\ &- 2\int_{0}^{t}(\nabla u_{s}^{+},g_{s}(u_{s},\nabla u_{s}))ds+2\int_{0}^{t}(u_{s}^{+},h_{s}(u_{s},\nabla u_{s}))dB_{s}\\ &+ \int_{0}^{t}\left\|1\!\!1_{\{u_{s}>0\}}h_{s}(u_{s},\nabla u_{s})\right\|^{2}ds+2\int_{0}^{t}\int_{\mathcal{O}}u_{s}^{+}(x)\nu(dxds).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We approximate $\psi(y)=(y^{+})^{2}$ by a sequence of regular functions: Let φ be an increasing $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ function such that $\varphi\left(y\right)=0$ for any $y\in]-\infty,1]$ and $\varphi\left(y\right)=1$ for any $y\in[2,\infty[$. We set $\psi_{n}\left(y\right)=y^{2}\varphi\left(ny\right)$, for each $y\in\mathbb{R}$ and all $n\in\mathbb{N}^{*}$. It is easy to verify that $\left(\psi_{n}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^{*}}$ converges uniformly to the function ψ and that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\psi_{n}'\left(y\right)=2y^{+},\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\psi_{n}''\left(y\right)=2\cdot1\!\!1_{\{y>0\}},$$

for any $y\in\mathbb{R}$. Moreover we have the estimates

$$0\leq\psi_{n}\left(y\right)\leq\psi\left(y\right),\ 0\leq\psi_{n}'\left(y\right)\leq Cy,\ \left|\psi_{n}''\left(y\right)\right|\leq C,\tag{23}$$

for any $y\geq0$ and all $n\in\mathbb{N}^{*}$, where C is a constant. We have for all $n\in\mathbb{N}^{*}$ and each

$t \in [0,T]$, a.s.,

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi_n\left(u_t(x)\right)dx + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}\left({\psi_n}'\left(u_s\right), u_s\right)ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi_n\left(\xi(x)\right)dx + \int_0^t \left({\psi_n}'\left(u_s\right), f_s\left(u_s, \nabla u_s\right)\right)ds$$
$$- \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^d \left({\psi_n}''\left(u_s\right)\partial_i u_s, g_{i,s}\left(u_s, \nabla u_s\right)\right)ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \left({\psi_n}''\left(u_s\right), \left|h_s\left(u_s, \nabla u_s\right)\right|^2\right)ds$$
$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \left({\psi_n}'\left(u_s\right), h_{j,s}\left(u_s, \nabla u_s\right)\right)dB_s^j + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} {\psi_n}'\left(u_s\right)\nu(dxds). \tag{24}$$

Taking the limit, thanks to the dominated convergence theorem, we know that all the terms except $\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} {\psi_n}'\left(u_s\right)\nu(dxds)$ converge. From (23) and (24), it is easy to verify

$$\sup_n \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi_n'(u_s)\nu(dxds) \le C.$$

Then, by Fatou's lemma, we have

$$2\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} u_s^+(x)\nu(dxds) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi_n'(u_s)\nu(dxds) < +\infty, \qquad a.s.$$

Hence, the convergence of the last term comes from the dominated convergence theorem. $\square$

Now we prove an estimate for the positive part u^+ of the solution. For this we need the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{f}^{u-S',0} = \mathbb{1}_{\{u>S'\}}\bar{f}^0,\ \bar{g}^{u-S',0} = \mathbb{1}_{\{u>S'\}}\bar{g}^0,\ \bar{h}^{u-S',0} = \mathbb{1}_{\{u>S'\}}\bar{h}^0, \\ &\bar{f}^{u-S'} = \bar{f} - \bar{f}^0 + \bar{f}^{u-S',0},\ \bar{g}^{u-S'} = \bar{g} - \bar{g}^0 + \bar{g}^{u-S',0},\ \bar{h}^{u-S'} = \bar{h} - \bar{h}^0 + \bar{h}^{u-S',0}, \\ &\bar{f}^{u-S',0+} = \mathbb{1}_{\{u>S'\}}\left(\bar{f}^0 \vee 0\right),\ (\xi - S_0')^+ = (\xi - S_0') \vee 0. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Proposition 3.7. *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 3.6, one has the following estimate:*

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\left\|u^+\right\|_{2,\infty;t}^2\right) \le\ & 2k(t)E\big(\left\|(\xi - S_0')^+\right\|_2^2 + \left(\left\|\bar{f}^{u-S',0+}\right\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2 + \left\|\bar{g}^{u-S',0}\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 + \left\|\bar{h}^{u-S',0}\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 \\ & + \left\|S_0'^{+}\right\|_2^2 + \left(\left\|f^{',0+}\right\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2 + \left\|g^{',0}\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 + \left\|h^{',0}\right\|_{2,2;t}^2\big) \end{aligned}$$

for each $t \in [0,T]$, where $k(t)$ is a constant that only depends on the structure constants and t.

Proof. Since $(u - S', \nu) = \mathcal{R}(\xi - S_0', \bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h}, S - S')$, by Proposition 3.6, we have almost surely $\forall t \in [0,T]$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathcal{O}}((u_t-S'_t)^+(x))^2dx+2\int_0^t\mathcal{E}((u_s-S'_s)^+)ds\\&=\int_{\mathcal{O}}((\xi-S'_0)^+(x))^2dx+2\int_0^t((u_s-S'_s)^+,\bar{f}_s(u_s-S'_s,\nabla(u_s-S'_s)))ds\\&-2\int_0^t(\nabla(u_s-S'_s)^+,\bar{g}_s(u_s-S'_s,\nabla(u_s-S'_s))ds+2\int_0^t((u_s-S'_s)^+,\bar{h}_s(u_s-S'_s,\nabla(u_s-S'_s))dB_s\\&+\int_0^t\left\|\mathbb{1}_{\{u_s-S'_s>0\}}(\bar{h}_s(u_s-S'_s,\nabla(u_s-S'_s)))\right\|^2ds+2\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}(u_s-S'_s)^+(x)\nu(dxds).\end{aligned}$$

As the support of ν is $\{u=S\}$, we have the following relation

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}(u_s-S'_s)^+\nu(dxds)=\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}(S_s-S'_s)^+\nu(dxds)=0.$$

Then we repeat word by word the proof of Proposition 3.5, replacing $u-S'$, $\bar{f}$, $\bar{g}$, $\bar{h}$ and $\xi-S'_0$ by $(u-S')^+$, $\bar{f}^{u-S',0+}$, $\bar{g}^{u-S',0}$, $\bar{h}^{u-S',0}$ and $(\xi-S'_0)^+$ respectively. Hence, we get the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}E(\left\|(u-S')^+\right\|^2_{2,\infty;t} \quad &+ \quad \left\|\nabla(u-S')^+\right\|^2_{2,2;t})\leq k(t)E(\left\|(\xi-S'_0)^+\right\|^2_2\\&+\left(\left\|\bar{f}^{u-S',0+}\right\|^*_{\#;t}\right)^2+\left\|\bar{g}^{u-S',0}\right\|^2_{2,2;t}+\left\|\bar{h}^{u-S',0}\right\|^2_{2,2;t}).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from Theorem 4 in [7], we know that

$$E\left(\left\|S^{'+}\right\|^2_{2,\infty;t}+\left\|\nabla S^{'+}\right\|^2_{2,2;t}\right)\leq k\left(t\right)E\left(\left\|S^{'+}_0\right\|^2_2+\left(\left\|f^{',0+}\right\|^*_{\#;t}\right)^2+\left\|g^{',0}\right\|^2_{2,2;t}+\left\|h^{',0}\right\|^2_{2,2;t}\right).$$

where $S^{'+}_0=S'_0\vee 0$, $f^{',0+}=\mathbb{1}_{\{S'>0\}}(f'\vee 0)$, $g^{',0}=\mathbb{1}_{\{S'>0\}}g'$ and $h^{',0}=\mathbb{1}_{\{S'>0\}}h'$. Then with the relation:

$$E\left\|(u)^+\right\|^2_{2,\infty;t}\leq 2E\left(\left\|(u-S')^+\right\|^2_{2,\infty;t}+\left\|(S')^+\right\|^2_{2,\infty;t}\right),$$

we get the desired estimate. □

4. L^p−estimate for the uniform norm of solutions with null Dirichlet boundary condition

In this section, we want to study, for some $p\geq 2$, the L^p- estimate for the uniform norm of the solution of (1). To get such estimate, we need stronger integrability conditions on the coefficients and the initial condition. To this end, we consider the following assumptions: for $\theta\in[0,1[$ and $p\geq 2$:

Assumption (HI2p)

$$E\left(\|\xi\|^p_\infty+\left\|f^0\right\|^2_{2,2;T}+\left\||g^0|\right\|^2_{2,2;T}+\left\||h^0|\right\|^2_{2,2;T}\right)<\infty.$$

Assumption (HO∞p)

$$S'_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathcal{O}) \text{ and } E\left((\|f'\|_{\infty,\infty;T})^p + (\||g'|^2\|_{\infty,\infty;T})^{p/2} + (\||h'|^2\|_{\infty,\infty;T})^{p/2}\right) < \infty.$$

We still consider $\bar{f}$, $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{h}$ which have been introduced at the beginning of Subsection 3.1. It is clear that $\bar{f}$, $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{h}$ satisfy condition **(H)** and $\|\xi - S'_0\|_\infty \in L^p(\Omega, P)$. Nevertheless, we need a supplementary hypothesis:

Assumption (HDθp)

$$E((\|\bar{f}^0\|^*_{\theta;T})^p + (\||\bar{g}^0|^2\|^*_{\theta;T})^{p/2} + (\||\bar{h}^0|^2\|^*_{\theta;T})^{p/2}) < \infty.$$

This assumption is fulfilled in the following case:

Example 4.1. *If $\|\nabla S'\|^*_{\theta;T}$, $\|f^0\|^*_{\theta;T}$, $\|g^0\|^*_{\theta;T}$ and $\|h^0\|^*_{\theta;T}$ belong to $L^p(\Omega,P)$, and assumptions* **(H)** *and* **(HO∞p)** *hold, then:*

$\bar{f}$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition with the same Lipschitz coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\bar{f}(t,\omega,x,y,z) - \bar{f}(t,\omega,x,y',z')\right| &= \left|f(t,\omega,x,y+S'_t(x),z+\nabla S'_t(x)) + f'(t,\omega,x)\right. \\ &- \left. f(t,\omega,x,y'+S'_t(x),z'+\nabla S'_t(x)) - f'(t,\omega,x)\right| \\ &\le C\left|y-y'\right| + C\left|z-z'\right|. \end{aligned}$$

$\bar{f}$ satisfies the integrability condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{f}^0\|^*_{\theta;T} &= \|f(S',\nabla S') - f'\|^*_{\theta;T} \le \|f(S',\nabla S')\|^*_{\theta;T} + \|f'\|^*_{\theta;T} \\ &\le \|f^0\|^*_{\theta;T} + C\|S'\|^*_{\theta;T} + C\|\nabla S'\|^*_{\theta;T} + \|f'\|_{\infty,\infty;T}. \end{aligned}$$

And the same for $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{h}$, which proves that **(HDθp)** *holds.*

We now give the main result of this Section, which is a version of the maximum principle in the case of a solution vanishing on the boundary of $\mathcal{O}$:

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that assumptions* **(H)**, **(O)**, **(HI2p)**, **(HO∞p)** *and* **(HDθp)** *hold, for some $\theta\in[0,1[$ and $p\ge 2$ and that the constants of Lipschitz conditions satisfy*

$$\alpha + \frac{\beta^2}{2} + 72\beta^2 < \lambda.$$

Let $(u,\ \nu)$ be the solution of OSPDE (1) with null boundary condition, then for all $t\in[0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} E\|u\|^p_{\infty,\infty;t} \le c(p)k(t)E\big(& \|\xi\|^p_\infty + \|S'_0\|^p_\infty + \|f'\|^{*p}_{\theta;t} + \||g'|^2\|^{*p/2}_{\theta;t} + \||h'|^2\|^{*p/2}_{\theta;t} \\ &+ \|\bar{f}^0\|^{*p}_{\theta;t} + \||\bar{g}^0|^2\|^{*p/2}_{\theta;t} + \||\bar{h}^0|^2\|^{*p/2}_{\theta;t}\big), \end{aligned}$$

where $c(p)$ is a constant which depends on p and $k(t)$ is a constant which depends on the structure constants and $t\in[0,T]$.

Remark 4.1. *The relations $\|f'\|^{*p}_{\theta;t} \le (\|f'\|_{\infty,\infty;t})^p$, $\||g'|^2\|^{*p/2}_{\theta;t} \le (\||g'|^2\|_{\infty,\infty;t})^{p/2}$ and $\||h'|^2\|^{*p/2}_{\theta;t} \le (\||h'|^2\|_{\infty,\infty;t})^{p/2}$ and assumption* **(HO∞p)**) *yield*

$$E\left(\|f'\|^{*p}_{\theta;t} + \||g'|^2\|^{*p/2}_{\theta;t} + \||h'|^2\|^{*p/2}_{\theta;t}\right) < +\infty.$$

As the proof of this theorem is quite long, we split it into several steps.

4.1. The case where $\boldsymbol{\xi}$, $\bar{\boldsymbol{f}}^{\mathbf{0}}$, $\bar{\boldsymbol{g}}^{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{h}}^{\mathbf{0}}$ are uniformly bounded

In this subsection, we assume that the hypotheses **(H)**, **(O)**, **(HI2p)**, **(HO∞p)** hold and we add the following stronger ones:

$$\xi \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathcal{O}),$$

and

$$\bar{f}^0,\ \bar{g}^0,\ \bar{h}^0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathcal{O}).$$

Then it is obviously that $\xi - S'_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathcal{O})$.
Under these hypotheses, we know that the SPDE with obstacle (1) with Dirichlet boundary condition admits a unique weak solution $(u,\nu) = \mathcal{R}(\xi, f, g, h, S)$ and that $(u - S', \nu) = \mathcal{R}(\xi - S'_0, \bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h}, S - S')$. We start by proving the following L^l-estimate:

Lemma 4.2. *The solution u of the problem (1) with null boundary condition belongs to $\cap_{l\geq 2} L^l([0,T] \times \mathcal{O} \times \Omega)$. Moreover there exist constants $c,\ c' > 0$ which only depend on $C,\ \alpha,\ \beta$ and on the quantity*

$$K = \left\|\xi - S'_0\right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathcal{O})} \vee \left\|\bar{f}^0\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+\times\Omega\times\mathcal{O})} \vee \left\|\bar{g}^0\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+\times\Omega\times\mathcal{O})} \vee \left\|\bar{h}^0\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+\times\Omega\times\mathcal{O})}$$

such that, for all real $l \geq 2$,

$$E\int_{\mathcal{O}} |u_t(x) - S'_t(x)|^l dx \leq cK^2 l(l-1)e^{cl(l-1)t} \tag{26}$$

$$E\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} |u_s(x) - S'_s(x)|^{l-2} |\nabla(u_s(x) - S'_s(x))|^2 dxds \leq c' K^2 l(l-1)e^{cl(l-1)t} \tag{27}$$

and

$$E\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} |u_s(x) - S'_s(x)|^{l-1} \nu(dxds) < +\infty. \tag{28}$$

Proof. Notice first that if $(u - S', \nu) = \mathcal{R}(\xi - S'_0, \bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h}, S - S')$, then

$$\bar{f}\left(u - S', \nabla(u - S')\right), \bar{g}_i\left(u - S', \nabla(u - S')\right), \bar{h}_i\left(u - S', \nabla(u - S')\right) \in L^2\left([0,T]; L^2\left(\Omega \times \mathcal{O}\right)\right)$$

and consequently we can apply Itô's formula to $(u - S', \nu)$ (See Theorem 5 in [9]).
We fix a real $l \geq 2$, $T > 0$ and introduce the sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ of functions such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R},\ \varphi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \mid x \mid^l & \text{if } \mid x \mid \leq n \\ n^{l-2}\left[\frac{l(l-1)}{2}(|x| - n)^2 + l\, n(|x| - n) + n^2\right] & \text{if } \mid x \mid > n \end{cases}$$

One can easily verify that for fixed n, φ_n is twice differentiable with bounded second derivative, $\varphi''_n(x) \geq 0$, and as $n \to \infty$ one has $\varphi_n(x) \longrightarrow |x|^l$, $\varphi'_n(x) \longrightarrow l sgn(x)|x|^{l-1}$, $\varphi''_n(x) \longrightarrow l(l-1)|x|^{l-2}$. Moreover, the following relations hold, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq l$:

1. $\mid x\varphi'_n(x) \mid \leq l\varphi_n(x)$.
2. $\mid \varphi'_n(x) \mid \leq \mid x\varphi''_n(x) \mid$.
3. $\mid x^2\varphi''_n(x) \mid \leq l(l-1)\varphi_n(x)$.
4. $|\varphi'_n(x)| \leq l(\varphi_n(x) + 1)$.

5. $|\varphi_n''(x)| \leq l(l-1)(\varphi_n(x)+1)$.

Applying Itô's formula to $\varphi_n(u-S')$, we have P-a.s. for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(u_t(x)-S'_t(x))\,dx \;+\; \int_0^t \mathcal{E}\big(\varphi_n'(u_s-S'_s),\, u_s-S'_s\big)\,ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(\xi(x)-S'_0(x))\,dx \\
&+\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(u_s(x)-S'_s(x))\bar{f}(s,x,u_s-S'_s,\nabla(u_s-S'_s))\,dxds \\
&-\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n''(u_s(x)-S'_s(x))\partial_i(u_s(x)-S'_s(x))\,\bar{g}_i(s,x,u_s-S'_s,\nabla(u_s-S'_s))\,dx\,ds \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(u_s(x)-S'_s(x))\,\bar{h}_j(s,x,u_s-S'_s,\nabla(u_s-S'_s))\,dxdB^j_s \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n''(u_s(x)-S'_s(x))\bar{h}_j^2(s,x,u_s-S'_s,\nabla(u_s-S'_s))\,dx\,ds \\
&+\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(u_s(x)-S'_s(x))\nu(dxds)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Since the support of ν is $\{u=S\}$, the last term is equal to

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(S_s(x)-S'_s(x))\nu(dxds)$$

and it is negative, because

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(S_s(x)-S'_s(x))\mathbb{1}_{\{|S-S'|\leq n\}}\nu(dxds) = l\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(S-S')\left|S_s(x)-S'_s(x)\right|^{l-1}\nu(dxds) \leq 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(S_s(x) \quad &- \quad S'_s(x))I_{\{|S-S'|>n\}}\nu(dxds) \\
&= \quad \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} n^{l-2}[l(l-1)(\left|S-S'\right|-n)sgn(S-S')+sgn(S-S')ln]\nu(dxds) < 0
\end{aligned}$$

By the uniform ellipticity of the operator A we get

$$\mathcal{E}\big(\varphi_n'(u_s-S'_s),\, u_s-S'_s\big) \;\geq\; \lambda\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n''(u_s-S'_s)|\nabla(u_s-S'_s)|^2\,dx.$$

Let $\epsilon>0$ be fixed. Using the Lipschitz condition on $\bar{f}$ and the properties of the functions $(\varphi_n)_n$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\varphi_n'(u_s-S'_s)|\,|\bar{f}(s,x,u_s-S'_s,\nabla u_s-S'_s)| \\
&\leq |\varphi_n'(u_s-S'_s)|\,\big(|\bar{f}^0(s,x)|+C\,(|u_s-S'_s|+|\nabla(u_s-S'_s)|)\,\big) \\
&\leq |\varphi_n'(u_s-S'_s)||\bar{f}^0(s,x)|+|u_s-S'_s||\varphi_n''(u_s-S'_s)|\,(C|u_s-S'_s|+C|\nabla(u_s-S'_s)|)\,) \\
&\leq l(\varphi_n(u_s-S'_s)+1)\,|\bar{f}^0(s,x)|+C|u_s-S'_s|^2|\varphi_n''(u_s-S'_s)|+C|u_s-S'_s||\nabla(u_s-S'_s)||\varphi_n''(u_s-S'_s)| \\
&\leq l(\varphi_n(u_s-S'_s)+1)\,|\bar{f}^0(s,x)|+(C+c_\epsilon)\,|u_s-S'_s|^2\varphi_n''(u_s-S'_s)+\,\epsilon\varphi_n''(u_s-S'_s)|\nabla u_s-S'_s|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Lipschitz condition on $\bar{g}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{d} \varphi_n''(u_s - S_s')\partial_i(u_s - S_s')\, \bar{g}_i(s, x, u_s - S_s', \nabla(u_s - S_s')) \\
&\leq \varphi_n''(u_s - S_s')\, |\nabla(u_s - S_s')|\, \big(|\bar{g}^0(s,x)| + C|u_s - S_s'| + \alpha|\nabla(u_s - S_s')|\,\big) \\
&\leq \epsilon\, \varphi_n''(u_s - S_s')|\nabla(u_s - S_s')|^2 + 2c_\epsilon \varphi_n''(u_s - S_s')\, \big(K^2 + C^2|u_s - S_s'|^2\,\big) + \alpha\, \varphi_n''(u_s - S_s')|\nabla(u_s - S_s')|^2 \\
&\leq l(l-1)c_\epsilon K^2 + 2c_\epsilon(K^2 + C^2)l(l-1)|\varphi_n(u_s - S_s')| + (\alpha + \epsilon)\, \varphi_n''(u_s - S_s')|\nabla(u_s - S_s')|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way as before

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n''(u_s - S_s')\bar{h}_j^2(s, u_s - S_s', \nabla(u_s - S_s')) \\
&\leq \varphi_n''(u_s - S_s')\, \big(\, c_\epsilon'(|\bar{h}^0(s,x)| + C|u_s - S_s'|)^2 \,+\, (1+\epsilon)\beta^2\, |\nabla(u_s - S_s')|^2\,\big) \\
&\leq \varphi_n''(u_s - S_s')\, \big(2c_\epsilon' K^2 \,+\, 2c_\epsilon' C^2|u_s - S_s'|^2 + \,(1+\epsilon)\beta^2\, |\nabla(u_s - S_s')|^2\,\big) \\
&\leq\, 2c_\epsilon' l(l-1)K^2 + 2c_\epsilon'(K^2 + C^2)l(l-1)\varphi_n(u_s - S_s') + (1+\epsilon)\, \beta^2\, \varphi_n''(u_s - S_s')|\nabla(u_s - S_s')|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus taking the expectation, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(u_t(x) - S_t'(x))\, dx + (\lambda - \frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon)\beta^2 - (\alpha + 2\epsilon)\,)\, E\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n''(u_s - S_s')\, |\nabla(u_s - S_s')|^2\, dx\, ds \\
&\leq\, l(l-1)c_\epsilon'' K^2 \,+\, c_\epsilon'' l(l-1)\big(K^2 + C^2 + C + c_\epsilon\big)E\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(u_s(x) - S_s'(x))\, dx\, ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

On account of the contraction condition, one can choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough such that

$$\lambda - \frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon)\beta^2 - (\alpha + 2\epsilon) > 0$$

and then

$$E\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(u_t(x) - S_t'(x))\, dx \leq\, cK^2 l(l-1) \,+\, cl(l-1)E\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(u_s(x) - S_s'(x))\, dx\, ds\,.$$

We obtain by Gronwall's Lemma, that

$$E\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(u_t(x) - S_t'(x))\, dx \leq\, c\,K^2 l(l-1)\, \exp\big(c\, l(l-1)\, t\big) \tag{31}$$

and so it is now easy from (30) to get

$$E\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n''(u_s(x) - S_s'(x))\, |\nabla(u_s - S_s')|^2\, dx\, ds\, \leq\, c'\, K^2 l\, (l-1)\, \exp\big(cl(l-1)\, t\big). \tag{32}$$

Finally, letting $n \to \infty$ by Fatou's lemma we deduce (26) and (27).
Then with (29), we know that

$$-\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(u_s - S_s')\nu(dxds) = -\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(S_s - S_s')\nu(dxds) \leq C.$$

This yields (28) by Fatou's lemma. □

With the help of Lemma 4.2, we are able to prove the following Itô's formula:

Proposition 4.3. *Assume the hypotheses of the previous lemma. Let (u, ν) be the solution of the problem (1) with Dirichlet boundary condition. Then for $l \geq 2$, we get the following Itô's formula, P-almost surely, for all $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{O}} \left|u_t(x) - S'_t(x)\right|^l dx + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}\left(l\,(u_s - S'_s)^{l-1} sgn(u_s - S'_s),\, u_s - S'_s\right) ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left|\xi(x) - S'_0(x)\right|^l dx \\
&+ l \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(u_s - S'_s) \left|u_s(x) - S'_s(x)\right|^{l-1} \bar{f}(s, x, u_s - S'_s, \nabla(u_s - S'_s))\, dxds \\
&- l(l-1) \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left|u_s(x) - S'_s(x)\right|^{l-2} \partial_i(u_s(x) - S'_s(x))\, \bar{g}_i(s, x, u_s - S'_s, \nabla(u_s - S'_s))\, dx\, ds \\
&+ l \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(u_s - S'_s)\, \left|u_s(x) - S'_s(x)\right|^{l-1} \bar{h}_j(s, x, u_s - S'_s, \nabla(u_s - S'_s))\, dxdB_s^j \\
&+ \frac{l(l-1)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left|u_s(x) - S'_s(x)\right|^{l-2} \bar{h}_j^2(s, x, u_s - S'_s, \nabla(u_s - S'_s))\, dx\, ds \\
&+ l \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(u_s - S'_s) \left|u_s(x) - S'_s(x)\right|^{l-1} \nu(dx\, ds)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Proof. From Itô's formula (see Theorem 5 in [9]), with the same notations as in the previous lemma, we have P-almost surely, and for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(u_t(x) - S'_t(x))\, dx \;+\; \int_0^t \mathcal{E}\big(\varphi'_n(u_s - S'_s),\, u_s - S'_s\big)\, ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(\xi(x) - S'_0(x))\, dx \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'_n(u_s(x) - S'_s(x)) \bar{f}(s, x, u_s - S'_s, \nabla(u_s - S'_s))\, dxds \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi''_n(u_s(x) - S'_s(x)) \partial_i(u_s(x) - S'_s(x))\, \bar{g}_i(s, x, u_s - S'_s, \nabla(u_s - S'_s))\, dx\, ds \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'_n(u_s(x) - S'_s(x))\, \bar{h}_j(s, x, u_s - S'_s, \nabla(u_s - S'_s))\, dxdB_s^j \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi''_n(u_s(x) - S'_s(x)) \bar{h}_j^2(s, x, u_s - S'_s, \nabla(u_s - S'_s))\, dx\, ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'_n(u_s(x) - S'_s(x)) \nu(dxds)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, passing to the limit as $n \to \infty$, the convergences come from the Lemma 4.2 and the dominated convergence theorem. □

From now on, we assume the following stronger hypothesis:

$$\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 + 72\beta^2 < \lambda. \tag{34}$$

At this stage, the idea is to adapt the Moser iteration technics to our setting. To this end, in order to control uniformly the L^l norms and make l tend to $+\infty$, we introduce for each $l \geq 2$, the processes v and v' given by

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &:= \sup_{s \leq t} \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \left| u_s - S'_s \right|^l dx + \gamma l \,(l-1) \int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left| u_r - S'_r \right|^{l-2} \left| \nabla (u_r - S'_r) \right|^2 dx\, dr \right), \\ v'_t &:= \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left| \xi - S'_0 \right|^l dx + l^2 c_1 \left\| \left| u - S' \right|^l \right\|_{1,1;t} + l \left\| \bar{f}^0 \right\|^*_{\theta,t} \left\| \left| u - S' \right|^{l-1} \right\|_{\theta;t} \\ &\quad + l^2 \left(c_2 \left\| |\bar{g}^0|^2 \right\|^*_{\theta;t} + c_3 \left\| |\bar{h}^0|^2 \right\|^*_{\theta;t} \right) \left\| \left| u - S' \right|^{l-2} \right\|_{\theta;t}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constants are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \lambda - \alpha - \frac{\epsilon l}{l-1} - \frac{1+\epsilon}{2} \beta^2 \\ c_1 &= \frac{C}{2} \left(1 + \frac{C}{4\epsilon} \right) + \frac{3 + 2\epsilon}{2\epsilon} C^2 + 3 \frac{1+\epsilon}{\epsilon^2} C^2 \\ c_2 &= \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad c_3 = \frac{(3+\epsilon)\,(1+\epsilon)}{\epsilon} \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The main difficulty in the stochastic case is to control the martingale part. We start by estimating the bracket of the local martingale in (33)

$$M_t := l \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(u_s - S'_s) \, \left| u_s(x) - S'_s(x) \right|^{l-1} \bar{h}_j(s, x, u_s - S'_s, \nabla (u_s - S'_s)) \, dx dB^j_s$$

Lemma 4.4. *For arbitrary* $\varepsilon > 0$, *one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M \rangle_t^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \varepsilon v_t + \frac{l^2}{2\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \left\| |\bar{h}^0|^2 \right\|^*_{\theta;t} \left\| \left| u - S' \right|^{l-2} \right\|_{\theta;t} + \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} C^2 \left\| \left| u - S' \right|^l \right\|_{1,1;t} \right) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{l}{l-1}} \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\gamma}} v_t \,. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

The proof is the same as Lemma 12 in [5] replacing u by $u - S'$ and also h by $\bar{h}$.
In what follows we will use the notion of domination, which is essential to handle the martingale part. We recall the definition from Revuz and Yor [24].

Definition 4.5. *A non-negative, adapted right continuous process* X *is dominated by an increasing process* A, *if*

$$E\left[X_\rho \right] \, \leq \, E\left[A_\rho \right]$$

for any bounded stopping time, ρ.

One important result related to this notion is the following domination inequality (see Proposition IV.4.7 in Revuz-Yor, p. 163), for any $k \in]0,\, 1[$,

$$E\big[(X^*_\infty)^k \big] \, \leq \, C_k \, E\big[(A_\infty)^k \big] \tag{37}$$

where C_k is a positive constant and $X^*_t := \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s|$.

We will also use the fact that if A, A' are increasing processes, then the domination of a process X by A is equivalent to the domination of $X + A'$ by $A + A'$.

Lemma 4.6. *The Process τv is dominated by the process v' where*

$$\tau = 1 - 6\epsilon - 6\sqrt{1+\epsilon}\sqrt{\frac{l}{l-1}}\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\gamma}}.$$

In other words, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau\, E \sup_{0\le s\le t}\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \left|u_s - S'_s\right|^l dx + \gamma l\,(l-1)\int_0^s\int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|u_r - S'_r\right|^{l-2}\left|\nabla(u_r - S'_r)\right|^2 dxdr\right)\\
&\le E\int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|\xi - S'_0\right|^l dx \,+\, l^2 c_1 E\, \left\|\left|u - S'\right|^l\right\|_{1,1;t} + lE\left\|\bar f^0\right\|^*_{\theta,t}\left\|\left|u - S'\right|^{l-1}\right\|_{\theta;t} \qquad (38)\\
&\quad + \, l^2 E\,\left(c_2\left\||\bar g^0|^2\right\|^*_{\theta;t} + c_3\left\||\bar h^0|^2\right\|^*_{\theta;t}\right)\left\|\left|u - S'\right|^{l-2}\right\|_{\theta;t},
\end{aligned}$$

where γ, c_1, c_2 and c_3 are the constants given above.

Proof. Starting from the relation (33):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|u_t(x) - S'_t(x)\right|^l dx + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}\left(l\,(u_s - S'_s)^{l-1} sgn(u_s - S'_s),\, u_s - S'_s\right) ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|\xi(x) - S'_0(x)\right|^l dx\\
&+ l\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(u_s - S'_s)\left|u_s(x) - S'_s(x)\right|^{l-1}\bar f(s,x,u_s - S'_s,\nabla(u_s - S'_s))\,dxds\\
&- l(l-1)\sum_{i=1}^d\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|u_s(x) - S'_s(x)\right|^{l-2}\partial_i(u_s(x) - S'_s(x))\,\bar g_i(s,x,u_s - S'_s,\nabla(u_s - S'_s))\,dx\,ds\\
&+ l\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(u_s - S'_s)\,\left|u_s(x) - S'_s(x)\right|^{l-1}\bar h_j(s,x,u_s - S'_s,\nabla(u_s - S'_s))\,dxdB^j_s\\
&+ \frac{l(l-1)}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|u_s(x) - S'_s(x)\right|^{l-2}\bar h_j^2(s,x,u_s - S'_s,\nabla(u_s - S'_s))\,dx\,ds\\
&+ l\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(u_s - S'_s)\left|u_s(x) - S'_s(x)\right|^{l-1}\nu(dx\,ds)\,, \quad a.s.
\end{aligned}$$

The last term is negative: from the condition of minimality, we have the following relation,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(u_s - S'_s)\left|u_s(x) - S'_s(x)\right|^{l-1}\nu(dxds)\\
=\;&\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(S_s - S'_s)\left|S_s(x) - S'_s(x)\right|^{l-1}\nu(dxds) \le 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can do the same calculus as in the proof of Lemma 14 in [5], replacing u by $u - S'$ and f, g, h by $\bar f$, $\bar g$, $\bar h$ respectively. ☐

The proofs of the next 3 lemmas are similar to the proofs of Lemmas 15, 16 and 17 in [5], just replacing u by $u - S'$ and replacing f, g and h by $\bar f$, $\bar g$ and $\bar h$ respectively.

Lemma 4.7. *The process v satisfies the estimate*

$$v_t \ge \delta\left\|\left|u - S'\right|^l\right\|_{0;t}$$

with $\delta = 1\wedge\left(2c_S^{-1}\gamma\right)$, where c_S is the constant in the Sobolev inequality (3).

Lemma 4.8. *The process*

$$w_t := \left[\left\| \left| u - S' \right|^{\sigma l} \right\|_{\theta;t}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \vee \left\| \xi - S'_0 \right\|_{\infty}^{l} \vee \left\| \bar{f}^0 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{l} \vee \left\| |\bar{g}^0|^2 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{l}{2}} \vee \left\| |\bar{h}^0|^2 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{l}{2}} \right]$$

is dominated by the process

$$w'_t := 6k\left(t\right) l^2 \left[\left\| \left| u - S' \right|^{l} \right\|_{\theta;t} \vee \left\| \xi - S'_0 \right\|_{\infty}^{l} \vee \left\| \bar{f}^0 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{l} \vee \left\| |\bar{g}^0|^2 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{l}{2}} \vee \left\| |\bar{h}^0|^2 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{l}{2}} \right],$$

where $\sigma = \frac{d+2\theta}{d}$ *and* $k : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *is a function independent of* l*, depending only on the structure constants.*

Lemma 4.9. *There exists a function* $k_1 : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *which involves only the structure constants of our problem and such that the following estimate holds*

$$Ev_t \leq k_1\left(l, t\right) E \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \left| \xi - S'_0 \right|^l dx + \left\| \bar{f}^0 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*l} + \left\| |\bar{g}^0|^2 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{l}{2}} + \left\| |\bar{h}^0|^2 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{l}{2}} \right).$$

We now prove Theorem 4.1 in the case where ξ, $\bar{f}^0$, $\bar{g}^0$ and $\bar{h}^0$ are uniformly bounded:

We set $l = p\sigma^n$, with some $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. By Lemma 4.8 and the domination inequality (37) we deduce, for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left(\left\| \left| u - S' \right|^{\sigma l} \right\|_{\theta;t}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \vee \left\| \xi - S'_0 \right\|_{\infty}^{l} \vee \left\| \bar{f}^0 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*l} \vee \left\| |\bar{g}^0|^2 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{l}{2}} \vee \left\| |\bar{h}^0|^2 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{l}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^n}} \\ & \leq C_{\sigma^{-n}} \left(6k\left(t\right) l^2\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^n}} E\left(\left\| \left| u - S' \right|^{l} \right\|_{\theta;t} \vee \left\| \xi - S'_0 \right\|_{\infty}^{l} \vee \left\| \bar{f}^0 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*l} \vee \left\| |\bar{g}^0|^2 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{l}{2}} \vee \left\| |\bar{h}^0|^2 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{l}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{\sigma^{-n}}$ is the constant in the domination inequality. This constant is estimated by

$$C_{\sigma^{-n}} \leq \sigma^{\frac{n}{\sigma^n}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sigma^n} \right)^{-1}.$$

(See the exercise IV.4.30 in Revuz -Yor, p. 171). So let us denote by

$$a_n := \left\| \left| u - S' \right|^{p\sigma^n} \right\|_{\theta;t}^{\frac{1}{\sigma^n}} \vee \left\| \xi - S'_0 \right\|_{\infty}^{p} \vee \left\| \bar{f}^0 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p} \vee \left\| |\bar{g}^0|^2 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{p}{2}} \vee \left\| |\bar{h}^0|^2 \right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{p}{2}}$$

and deduce from the above inequality the following one

$$Ea_{n+1} \leq \sigma^{\frac{n}{\sigma^n}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sigma^n} \right)^{-1} \left(6k\left(t\right) \left(p\sigma^n\right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^n}} Ea_n.$$

Iterating this relation n times we get

$$Ea_{n+1} \leq \sigma^{3\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{m}{\sigma^m}} \prod_{m=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sigma^m} \right)^{-1} \left(6k\left(t\right) p^2\right)^{\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sigma^m}} Ea_1.$$

Now we shall let n tend to infinity in this relation. Since in general one has

$$\lim_{q,q'\to\infty} \|F\|_{q,q';t} = \|F\|_{\infty,\infty;t}\,,$$

for any function $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}$, it is easy to see that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| |u - S'|^{p\sigma^n} \right\|_{\theta;t}^{\frac{1}{\sigma^n}} = \|u - S'\|_{\infty,\infty;t}^p\,.$
Therefore we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \left\|u - S'\right\|_{\infty,\infty;t}^p \vee \left\|\xi - S'_0\right\|_\infty^p \vee \left\|\bar{f}^0\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p} \vee \left\||\bar{g}^0|^2\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{p}{2}} \vee \left\||\bar{h}^0|^2\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{p}{2}}\,,$$

which implies

$$E\left\|u - S'\right\|_{\infty,\infty;t}^p \le \rho\,(t)\,Ea_1,$$

with

$$\rho\,(t) = \sigma^{3\sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{m}{\sigma^m}} \prod_{m=1}^\infty \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sigma^m}\right)^{-1} \left(5k\,(t)\,p^2\right)^{\sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma^m}}\,.$$

Now we estimate Ea_1 by using the fact that $\delta\left\||u - S'|^{p\sigma}\right\|_{\theta;t}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \le v_t$, with p replacing l in the expression of v. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Ea_1 &= E\left(\left\||u - S'|^{p\sigma}\right\|_{\theta;t}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \vee \left\|\xi - S'_0\right\|_\infty^p \vee \left\|\bar{f}^0\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p} \vee \left\||\bar{g}^0|^2\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{p}{2}} \vee \left\||\bar{h}^0|^2\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{p}{2}}\right)\\
&\le E\left(\delta^{-1}v_t + \left\|\xi - S'_0\right\|_\infty^p + \left\|\bar{f}^0\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p} + \left\||\bar{g}^0|^2\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{p}{2}} \vee \left\||\bar{h}^0|^2\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*\frac{p}{2}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally one deduces the following estimate by applying Lemma 4.9 with $l = p$:

$$E\left\|u - S'\right\|_{\infty,\infty;t}^p \le k_2\,(t)\,E\left(\left\|\xi - S'_0\right\|_\infty^p + \left\|\bar{f}^0\right\|_{\theta,t}^{*p} + \left\||\bar{g}^0|^2\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p/2} + \left\||\bar{h}^0|^2\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p/2}\right). \tag{39}$$

Moreover (see Theorem 11 [5]), we have

$$E\left\|S'\right\|_{\infty,\infty;t}^p \le k(t)E\left(\left\|S'_0\right\|_\infty^p + \left\|f'\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p} + \left\||g'|^2\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p/2} + \left\||h'|^2\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p/2}\right)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left\|u\right\|_{\infty,\infty;t}^p &\le c(p)(E\left\|u - S'\right\|_{\infty,\infty;t}^p + E\left\|S'\right\|_{\infty,\infty;t}^p)\\
&\le c(p)k(t)E\big(\,\|\xi\|_\infty^p + \left\|S'_0\right\|_\infty^p + \left\|f'\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p} + \left\||g'|^2\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p/2} + \left\||h'|^2\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p/2}\\
&\quad + \left\|\bar{f}^0\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p} + \left\||\bar{g}^0|^2\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p/2} + \left\||\bar{h}^0|^2\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*p/2}\,\big).
\end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 4.1 in this particular case where ξ, $\bar{f}^0$, $\bar{g}^0$ and $\bar{h}^0$ are uniformly bounded. We now turn out to the general case.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.1 in the general case

We now assume that **(H)**, **(O)**, **(HI2p)**, **(HO∞p)** and **(HDθp)** hold. We are going to prove Theorem 4.1 in the general case by using an approximation argument. For this, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $1 \le i \le d, 1 \le j \le \infty$ and all (t,w,x,y,z) in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \bar f_n(t,w,x,y,z) &= \bar f(t,w,x,y,z) - \bar f^0(t,w,x) + \bar f^0(t,w,x)\cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar f^0(t,w,x)|\le n\}} \\ \bar g_{i,n}(t,w,x,y,z) &= \bar g_i(t,w,x,y,z) - \bar g_i^0(t,w,x) + \bar g_i^0(t,w,x)\cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar g_i^0(t,w,x)|\le n\}} \\ \bar h_{j,n}(t,w,x,y,z) &= \bar h_j(t,w,x,y,z) - \bar h_j^0(t,w,x) + \bar h_j^0(t,w,x)\cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar h_j^0(t,w,x)|\le n\}} \\ \xi_n(w,x) &= \xi(w,x)\cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi(\omega,x)|\le n\}} \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

One can check that for all n, $\bar f_n$, $\bar g_n$, $\bar h_n$ and $\xi^n - S'_0$ satisfy all the assumptions of the Step 1 of the proof, and that Lipschitz constants do not depend on n. And the obstacle $S - S'$ is controlled by 0, which obviously satisfies **(HO2)**. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we put $(\bar u^n, \nu^n) = \mathcal{R}(\xi^n - S'_0, \bar f^n, \bar g^n, \bar h^n, S - S')$ and we know that $\bar u^n$ satisfies the estimate of Step 1. We are now going to prove that $(\bar u^n,\, \nu^n)$ converges to $(\bar u, \nu) = \mathcal{R}(\xi - S'_0, \bar f, \bar g, \bar h, S - S')$. Let us fix $n \le m$ in $\mathbb{N}^*$ and put $\bar u^{n,m} := \bar u^n - \bar u^m$ and $\nu^{n,m} := \nu^n - \nu^m$ We first note that $\bar u^{n,m}$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} d\bar u_t^{n,m}(x) + A\bar u_t^{n,m}(x)\,dt = \bar f_{n,m}\left(t,x,\bar u_t^{n,m}(x), \nabla \bar u_t^{n,m}(x)\right)dt \\ - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_i \bar g_{i,n,m}\left(t,x,\bar u_t^{n,m}(x), \nabla \bar u_t^{n,m}(x)\right)dt \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar h_{j,n,m}\left(t,x,\bar u_t^{n,m}(x), \nabla \bar u_t^{n,m}(x)\right)dB_t^j + \nu^{n,m}(x,\, dt) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar f_{n,m}(t,w,x,y,z) = \bar f\left(t,w,x,y + \bar u_t^m(x), z + \nabla \bar u_t^m(x)\right) - \bar f\left(t,w,x,\bar u_t^m(x), \nabla \bar u_t^m(x)\right) \\ + \bar f_n^0(t,w,x) - \bar f_m^0(t,w,x) \end{aligned}$$

and $\bar g_{i,n,m}, \bar h_{j,n,m}$ have similar expressions. Clearly one has

$$\bar f_{n,m}(t,w,x,0,0) = \bar f_n^0(t,w,x) - \bar f_m^0(t,w,x) := \bar f_{n,m}^0(t,w,x)$$

and some similar relations for $\bar g_{i,n,m}(t,w,x,0,0)$ and $\bar h_{j,n,m}(t,w,x,0,0)$. On the other hand, one can easily verify that

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left\|\xi_n - \xi\right\|_\infty^p \longrightarrow 0, && E\left\|\bar f_n^0 - \bar f^0\right\|_{\theta;T}^{*p} \longrightarrow 0, \\ &E\left\|\bar g_n^0 - \bar g^0\right\|_{\theta;T}^{*p} \longrightarrow 0, && E\left\|\bar h_n^0 - \bar h^0\right\|_{\theta;T}^{*p} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.4 with $l = 2$ (see Appendix) we deduce that

$$E\left\|\bar u^n - \bar u^m\right\|_T^2 \longrightarrow 0, \quad as\ n,\, m \to \infty. \tag{41}$$

Therefore, $(\bar u^n)_n$ has a limit $\bar u$ in $\mathcal{H}_T$.

We now study the convergence of $(\nu^n)_n$. Denote by v^n the parabolic potential associated to ν^n, and $z^n = \bar{u}^n - v^n$, so z^n satisfies the following SPDE

$$dz_t^n(x) + Az_t^n(x)dt = \bar{f}_n(t,x,\bar{u}_t^n(x),\nabla\bar{u}_t^n(x))dt - \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i\bar{g}_{i,n}(t,x,\bar{u}_t^n(x),\nabla\bar{u}_t^n(x))dt$$
$$+ \sum_{j=1}^\infty \bar{h}_{j,n}(t,x,\bar{u}_t^n(x),\nabla\bar{u}_t^n(x))\,dB_t^j.$$

We define $z^{1,n}$ to be the solution of the following SPDE with initial value $\xi^n - S_0'$ and zero boundary condition:

$$dz_t^{1,n}(x) + Az_t^{1,n}(x)dt = (\bar{f}_t(x,\bar{u}_t^n(x),\nabla\bar{u}_t^n(x)) - \bar{f}_t^0(x))dt - \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i(\bar{g}_{i,t}(x,\bar{u}_t^n(x),\nabla\bar{u}_t^n(x))$$
$$- \bar{g}_t^0(x))dt + \sum_{j=1}^\infty(\bar{h}_{j,t}(x,\bar{u}_t^n(x),\nabla\bar{u}_t^n(x)) - \bar{h}_t^0(x))\,dB_t^j.$$

This is a linear SPDE in $z^{1,n}$, its solution uniquely exists and belongs to $\mathcal{H}_T$. Applying Itô's formula to $(z^{1,n})^2$ and doing a classical calculation, we get:

$$E\left\|z^{1,n} - z^{1,m}\right\|_T^2 \le CE\big(\left\|\xi^n - \xi^m\right\|_2^2 + \left\|\bar{u}^n - \bar{u}^m\right\|_T^2\big) \to 0, \quad as\ n,\ m \to \infty.$$

Then, we define $z^{2,n}$ to be the solution of the following SPDE with initial value 0 and zero boundary condition:

$$dz_t^{2,n}(x) + Az_t^{2,n}(x)dt = \bar{f}_n^0(t,x)dt - \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i\bar{g}_{i,n}^0(t,x))dt + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \bar{h}_{j,n}^0(x)\,dB_t^j.$$

This is still a linear SPDE in $z^{2,n}$, its solution uniquely exists and from the proof of Theorem 11 in [5], we know that

$$E\left\|z^{2,n} - z^{2,m}\right\|_T^2 \le CE\left(\left\|\bar{f}_{n,m}^0\right\|_{\theta;T}^{*2} + \left\|\left|\bar{g}_{n,m}^0\right|^2\right\|_{\theta;T}^* + \left\|\left|\bar{h}_{n,m}^0\right|^2\right\|_{\theta;T}^*\right) \to 0, \quad as\, n,\ m \to \infty.$$

This yields:

$$E\left\|z^n - z^m\right\|_T^2 \longrightarrow 0, \quad as \quad n, m \to \infty.$$

Hence, using (41) and the fact that $\bar{u}^n = z^n + v^n$, we get:

$$E\left\|v^n - v^m\right\|_T^2 \longrightarrow 0, \quad as\ n, m \to \infty.$$

Therefore, $(v^n)_n$ has a limit v in $\mathcal{H}_T$. So, by extracting a subsequence, we can assume that $(v^n)_n$ converges to v in $\mathcal{K}$ almost-surely. Then, it's clear that $v \in \mathcal{P}$, and we denote by ν the random regular measure associated to the potential v. Moreover, we have P-a.s., $\forall\varphi \in \mathcal{W}_t^+$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}\varphi(x,s)\nu(dxds) &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}\varphi(x,s)\nu^n(dxds)\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^t -(v_s^n, \frac{\partial\varphi_s}{\partial s})ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}(v_s^n,\varphi_s)ds\\ &= \int_0^t -(v_s, \frac{\partial\varphi_s}{\partial s})ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}(v_s,\varphi_s)ds.\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of Lemma 6.3 in the Appendix, we know that

$$E\left\|\bar{u}^n-\bar{u}^m\right\|^p_{\infty,\infty;T}\longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, we can apply Proposition 4.3 to $\bar{u}^n$ and pass to the limit and so we obtain that this proposition remains valid in this case. Then, one can end the proof by repeating the first part of Step 1 starting from Proposition 4.3.
We conclude thanks to the uniqueness of the solution of the obstacle problem ensuring that $\bar{u}$ is exactly equals to $u-S'$.

□

5. Maximum Principle for local solutions

We now introduce the lateral condition on the boundary that we consider:

Definition 5.1. *If u belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{loc}$, we say that u is non-negative on the boundary of $\mathcal{O}$ if u^+ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_T$ and we denote it simply: $u\leq 0$ on $\partial\mathcal{O}$. More generally, if M is a random field defined on $[0,T]\times\mathcal{O}$, we note $u\leq M$ on $\partial\mathcal{O}$ if $u-M\leq 0$ on $\partial\mathcal{O}$.*

5.1. Itô's formula for the positive part of a local solution

In this section we are in the general framework with **(H)**, **(HIL)**, **(OL)** and **(HOL)** are assumed to be fulfilled. The following proposition represents a key technical result which leads to a generalization of the estimates of the positive part of a local solution. Let $(u,\nu)\in\mathcal{R}_{loc}(\xi,f,g,h,S)$, denote by u^+ its positive part.

Proposition 5.2. *Assume that $\partial\mathcal{O}$ is Lipschitz and that u^+ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_T$, i.e. u is non-positive on the boundary of $\mathcal{O}$.*
Let $\varphi:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ be a function of class $\mathcal{C}^2$, which admits a bounded second order derivative and such that $\varphi'(0)=0$. Then the following relation holds, a.s., for each $t\in[0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{O}}\varphi(u_t^+(x))dx+\int_0^t\mathcal{E}(\varphi'(u_s^+),u_s^+)ds&=\int_{\mathcal{O}}\varphi(\xi^+(x))dx+\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}\varphi'(u_s^+(x))f_s(x)dxds\\ -\sum_{i=1}^d\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}\varphi"(u_s^+(x))\partial_iu_s^+(x)g_s^i(x)dxds&+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}\varphi"(u_s^+(x))\mathbb{1}_{\{u_s>0\}}|h_s(x)|^2dxds\\ +\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}\varphi'(u_s^+(x))h_s^j(x)dxdB_s^j&+\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}\varphi'(u_s^+(x))\nu(dxds).\end{aligned}\tag{42}$$

Proof. We consider $\phi\in\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{O}),\ 0\leq\phi\leq 1$, and put

$$\forall t\in[0,T],\quad w_t=\phi u_t.$$

A direct calculation yields the following relation:

$$dw_t=Lw_tdt+\bar{f}_tdt+\sum_{i=1}^d\partial_i\widetilde{g_{i,t}}dt+\sum_{j=1}^\infty\widetilde{h_{j,t}}dB_t^j+\phi\nu(x,dt)$$

where

$$\bar{f}_t = \phi f_t - \sum a_{i,j}(\partial_i \phi)(\partial_j u_t) - \sum (\partial_i \phi) g_{i,t}\,,$$

$$\widetilde{g_{i,t}} = \phi g_{i,t} - u_t \sum a_{i,j}\partial_j \phi\,, \quad \widetilde{h_{j,t}} = \phi h_{j,t}\,.$$

Now we prove that $\phi\nu$ is a regular measure:
We know that:

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{W}_T^+, \quad \int (-\frac{\partial \varphi_s}{\partial s}, v_s)ds + \int \mathcal{E}(\varphi_s, v_s)ds = \int\int \varphi(s,x)d\nu. \tag{43}$$

We replace φ by $\phi\varphi$ in (43), where ϕ is the same as before, and we obtain the following relation:

$$\int (-\frac{\partial \phi\varphi_s}{\partial s}, v_s)ds + \int \mathcal{E}(\phi\varphi_s, v_s)ds = \int\int \phi\varphi(s,x)d\nu$$

note that ϕ does not depend on t and by a similar calculation as before, we get

$$\int (-\frac{\partial \varphi_s}{\partial s}, \phi v_s)ds + \int \mathcal{E}(\varphi_s, \phi v_s)ds + \int (K_s, \varphi_s)ds - \int (k_s, \nabla\varphi_s)ds = \int\int \varphi(s,x)d\phi\nu$$

where

$$K_t = \sum a_{i,j}(\partial_i \phi)(\partial_j v_t), \quad k_t = v_t \sum a_{i,j}\partial_j \phi.$$

We denote by $\bar{z}$ the solution of the following PDE with Dirichlet boundary condition and the initial value 0:

$$d\bar{z}_t + A\bar{z}_t dt = K_t dt + div k_t dt.$$

If we set $\bar{v} = \phi v + \bar{z}$, then $\bar{v}$ satisfies the following relation:

$$\int_0^t (-\frac{\partial \varphi_s}{\partial s}, \bar{v}_s)ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}(\varphi_s, \bar{v}_s)ds = \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi(x,s)d\phi\nu.$$

It is easy to verify that $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{P}$. Thus $\phi\nu$ is a regular measure associated to $\bar{v}$.
Hence, we deduce that $(\phi u, \phi\nu)$ satisfies an OSPDE with $\phi\xi$ as initial data and zero Dirichlet boundary conditions.
Now, we approximate the function $\psi: \ y \in \mathbb{R} \to \varphi(y^+)$ by a sequence (ψ_n) of regular functions. Let ζ be a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ increasing function such that

$$\forall y \in]-\infty, 1], \ \zeta(y) = 0 \textit{ and } \forall y \in [2, +\infty[, \ \zeta(y) = 1.$$

We set for all n:

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \psi_n(y) = \varphi(y)\zeta(ny).$$

It is easy to verify that (ψ_n) converges uniformly to the function ψ, (ψ_n') converges everywhere to the function $(y \to \varphi'(y^+))$ and (ψ_n'') converges everywhere to the function $(y \to 1\!\!1_{\{y>0\}}\varphi"(y^+))$. Moreover we have the estimates:

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad 0 \le \psi_n(y) \le \psi(y), \quad 0 \le \psi_n'(y) \le Cy, \quad \left|\psi_n''(y)\right| \le C,$$

where C is a constant. Thanks to Itô's formula for the solution of OSPDE (1) (see Theorem 5 [9]), we have almost surely, for $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi_n(w_t(x))dx + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}(\psi_n'(w_s), w_s)ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi_n(\phi(x)\xi(x))dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi_n'(w_s(x))\bar{f}_s(x)dxds$$
$$-\sum \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi_n''(w_s(x))\partial_i w_s(x)\widetilde{g_{i,s}}(x)dxds + \sum \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi_n'(w_s(x))\widetilde{h_{j,s}}(x)dxdB_s^j$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi_n''(w_s(x))|\widetilde{h_{j,s}}(x)|^2 dxds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi_n'(w_s(x))d\phi\nu(x,s).$$

Making n tends to $+\infty$ and using the fact that $\mathbb{1}_{w_s>0}\partial_i w_s = \partial_i w_s^+$, we get by the dominated convergence theorem:

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi(w_t^+(x))dx + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}(\varphi'(w_s^+), w_s^+)ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi(\phi(x)\xi^+(x))dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'(w_s^+(x))\bar{f}_s(x)dxds$$
$$-\sum \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi''(w_s^+(x))\partial_i w_s^+(x)\widetilde{g_{i,s}}(x)dxds + \sum \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'(w_s^+(x))\widetilde{h_{j,s}}(x)dxdB_s^j$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi''(w_s^+(x))\mathbb{1}_{\{w_s>0\}}|\widetilde{h_{j,s}}(x)|^2 dxds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \phi\varphi'(w_s^+(x))d\nu(x,s), \quad a.s.$$

Then we consider a sequence (ϕ_n) in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})$, $0 \leq \phi_n \leq 1$, converging to 1 everywhere on $\mathcal{O}$ and such that for any $y \in H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$ the sequence $(\phi_n y)$ tends to y in $H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$ and

$$\sup_n \|\phi_n y\|_{H_0^1(\mathcal{O})} \leq C\, \|y\|_{H_0^1(\mathcal{O})}\,,$$

where C is a constant which does not depend on y. Such a sequence (ϕ_n) exists because $\partial\mathcal{O}$ is assumed to be Lipschitz (see Lemma 19 in [8]).
One has to remark that if $i \in \{1, ...d\}$ and $y \in H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$, then $(y\partial_i\phi_n)$ tends to 0 in $L^2(\mathcal{O})$.
Now, we set $w_n = \phi_n u$ and

$$\widetilde{f_t^n} = \phi_n f_t - \sum a_{i,j}(\partial_i\phi_n)(\partial_j u_t) - \sum(\partial_i\phi_n)g_{i,t}$$
$$\widetilde{g_{i,t}^n} = \phi_n g_{i,t} - u_t\sum a_{i,j}\partial_j\phi_n, \quad \widetilde{h_{j,t}^n} = \phi_n h_{j,t}$$

Applying the above Itô formula to $\varphi(w_n^+)$, we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi(w_{n,t}^+(x))dx + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}(\varphi'(w_{n,s}^+), w_{n,s}^+)ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi(\phi_n(x)\xi^+(x))dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'(w_{n,s}^+(x))\bar{f}_s(x)dxds$$
$$-\sum \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi"(w_{n,s}^+(x))\partial_i w_{n,s}^+(x)\widetilde{g_{i,s}}(x)dxds + \sum \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'(w_{n,s}^+(x))\widetilde{h_{j,s}}(x)dxdB_s^j$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi"(w_{n,s}^+(x))\mathbb{1}_{\{w_{n,s}>0\}}|\widetilde{h_{j,s}}(x)|^2 dxds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \phi_n\varphi'(w_{n,s}^+(x))d\nu(x,s), \quad a.s. \tag{44}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi'(w_{n,s}^+)\bar{f}_s^n \quad &- \quad \sum \varphi"(w_{s,n}^+)\partial_i w_{n,s}^+\widetilde{g_{i,s}^n} = \varphi'(w_{n,s}^+)\phi_n f_s - \sum a_{i,j}\varphi'(w_{n,s}^+)\partial_j\phi_n\partial_i u_s^+ \\ &+ \quad \sum a_{i,j}\varphi''(w_{n,s}^+)u_s^+\partial_i w_{n,s}^+\partial_j\phi_n - \sum(\varphi'(w_{n,s}^+))g_{i,s}\partial_i\phi_n + \varphi''(w_{n,s}^+)\phi_n g_{i,s}\partial_i w_{n,s}^+.\end{aligned}$$

Remarking that for all $s \in (0,T]$, $(\phi_n \varphi'(w^+_{n,s}))$ (resp. $(\partial_i \phi_n \varphi'(w^+_{n,s})))$ tends to $\varphi'(u^+_s)$ (resp. 0) in $H^1_0(\mathcal{O})$ (resp. $L^2(\mathcal{O})$) we get by the dominated convergence theorem the convergence of all the terms in equality (44) excepted the one involving the measure ν. For this last term, we know that w_n is quasi-continuous and we can use the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.6 since we have $\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'(u^+_s)\nu(dxds) < +\infty$. $\square$

5.2. The comparison theorem for local solutions

Firstly, we prove an Itô formula for the difference of local solutions of two OSPDE, $(u^1, \nu^1) \in \mathcal{R}_{loc}(\xi^1, f^1, g, h, S^1)$ and $(u^2, \nu^2) \in \mathcal{R}_{loc}(\xi^2, f^2, g, h, S^2)$, where (ξ^i, f^i, g, h, S^i) satisfy assumptions **(H)**, **(HIL)**, **(OL)** and **(HOL)**. We denote by $\hat{u} = u^1 - u^2$, $\hat{\nu} = \nu^1 - \nu^2$, $\hat{\xi} = \xi^1 - \xi^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}\left(t,\omega,x,y,z\right) &= f^1\left(t,\omega,x,y+u^2_t\left(x\right),z+\nabla u^2_t\left(x\right)\right) - f^2\left(t,\omega,x,u^2_t\left(x\right),\nabla u^2_t\left(x\right)\right),\\
\hat{g}\left(t,\omega,x,y,z\right) &= g\left(t,\omega,x,y+u^2_t\left(x\right),z+\nabla u^2_t\left(x\right)\right) - g\left(t,\omega,x,u^2_t\left(x\right),\nabla u^2_t\left(x\right)\right),\\
\hat{h}\left(t,\omega,x,y,z\right) &= h\left(t,\omega,x,y+u^2_t\left(x\right),z+\nabla u^2_t\left(x\right)\right) - h\left(t,\omega,x,u^2_t\left(x\right),\nabla u^2_t\left(x\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.3. *Assume that $\partial\mathcal{O}$ is Lipschitz and that $\hat{u}^+$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_T$. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class $\mathcal{C}^2$, which admits a bounded second order derivative and such that $\varphi'(0) = 0$. Then the following relation holds for each $t \in [0,T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi(\hat{u}^+_t(x))dx + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}(\varphi'(\hat{u}^+_s), \hat{u}^+_s)ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi(\hat{\xi}^+(x))dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'(\hat{u}^+_s(x))\hat{f}_s(x)dxds\\
&- \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi''(\hat{u}^+_s(x))\partial_i \hat{u}^+_s(x)\hat{g}^i_s(x)dxds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi''(\hat{u}^+_s(x))\mathbb{1}_{\{\hat{u}_s > 0\}}|\hat{h}_s(x)|^2 dxds\\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'(\hat{u}^+_s(x))\hat{h}^j_s(x)dxdB^j_s + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi'(\hat{u}^+_s(x))\hat{\nu}(dxds) \qquad a.s. \qquad (45)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We consider $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_c(\mathcal{O})$, $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, and put

$$\forall t \in [0,T], \quad \hat{w}_t = \phi \hat{u}_t.$$

From the proof of Proposition 5.2, we know that $(\phi u^1, \phi \nu^1)$ and $(\phi u^2, \phi \nu^2)$ are the solutions of problem (1) with null Dirichlet boundary conditions. We have the Itô formula for $\hat{w}$, see Theorem 6 in [9]. Then we do the same approximations as in the proof of Proposition 5.2, we can get the desired formula. $\square$

We have the following comparison theorem:

Theorem 5.4. *Assume that (ξ^i, f^i, g, h, S^i), $i = 1, 2$, satisfy assumptions* **(H)**, **(HIL)**, **(OL)** *and* **(HOL)**. *Let $(u^i, \nu^i) \in \mathcal{R}_{loc}\left(\xi^i, f^i, g, h, S^i\right), i = 1, 2$ and suppose that the process $\left(u^1 - u^2\right)^+$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_T$ and that one has*

$$E\left(\left\|f^1\left(.,.,u^2,\nabla u^2\right) - f^2\left(.,.,u^2,\nabla u^2\right)\right\|^*_{\#;t}\right)^2 < \infty, \quad \textit{for all} \quad t \in [0,T].$$

If $\xi^1 \leq \xi^2$ a.s., $f^1\left(t,\omega,u^2,\nabla u^2\right) \leq f^2\left(t,\omega,u^2,\nabla u^2\right)$, $dt \otimes dx \otimes dP$-a.e. and $S^1 \leq S^2$, $dt \otimes dx \otimes dP$-a.s., then one has $u^1(t,x) \leq u^2(t,x)$, $dt \otimes dx \otimes dP$-a.e.

Proof. Applying Itô's formula (45) to $(\hat{u}^+)^2$, we have $\forall t \in [0,T]$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} (\hat{u}_t^+(x))^2 dx + 2\int_0^t \mathcal{E}((\hat{u}_s^+))ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\hat{\xi}^+(x))^2 dx + 2\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \hat{u}_s^+(x) \hat{f}_s(x, \hat{u}_s(x), \nabla \hat{u}_s(x)) dx ds$$
$$-2\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \partial_i \hat{u}_s^+(x) \hat{g}_s^i(x, \hat{u}_s(x), \nabla \hat{u}_s(x)) dx ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\hat{u}_s > 0\}} |\hat{h}_s(x, \hat{u}_s(x), \nabla \hat{u}_s(x))|^2 dx ds$$
$$+2\sum_{i=1}^\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \hat{u}_s^+(x) \hat{h}_s^j(x, \hat{u}_s(x), \nabla \hat{u}_s(x)) dx dB_s^j + 2\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \hat{u}_s^+(x) \hat{\nu}(dxds), \qquad a.s.$$

The last term is negative because that:

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \hat{u}_s^+(x) \hat{\nu}(dxds) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (S^1 - u^2)^+ \nu^1(dxds) - \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (u^1 - S^2)^+ \nu^2(dxds) \leq 0$$

Then we can do the similar calculus as in Proposition 3.5 and get

$$E\left(\left\|\hat{u}^+\right\|_{2,\infty;t}^2 + \left\|\nabla \hat{u}^+\right\|_{2,2;t}^2\right) \leq k(t)\, E\left(\left\|\hat{\xi}^+\right\|_2^2 + \left(\left\|\hat{f}^{\hat{u},0+}\right\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2 + \left\|\hat{g}^{\hat{u},0}\right\|_{2,2;t}^2 + \left\|\hat{h}^{\hat{u},0}\right\|_{2,2;t}^2\right).$$

This deduce the result, since $\hat{\xi} \leq 0$ and $\hat{f}^0 \leq 0$ and $\hat{g}^0 = \hat{h}^0 = 0$. $\square$

5.3. Maximum principle

In all this subsection, we work under Assumptions **(H)**, **(OL), (HIL)**, **(HOL)**,**(HI2p)**, **(HO∞p)** and **(HDθp)**. By the following property which has been proved in [7], Lemma 2:

$$\|u\|_{1,1;T} \leq c\, \|u\|_{\theta;T}^*$$

for some constant $c > 0$, we know that **(HD**θp**)** is stronger than **(14)**.
We first consider the case of a solution u such that $u \leq 0$ on $\partial\mathcal{O}$.

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose that Assumptions* **(H)***,* **(OL)***,* **(HIL)***,* **(HOL)**,**(HI2p)***,* **(HO∞p)** *and* **(HDθp)** *hold for some* $\theta \in [0,1[$, $p \geq 2$ *and that the constants of the Lipschitz conditions satisfy*

$$\alpha + \frac{\beta^2}{2} + 72\beta^2 < \lambda.$$

Let $(u,\nu) \in \mathcal{R}_{loc}(\xi, f, g, h, S)$ *be such that* $u^+ \in \mathcal{H}$. *Then one has*

$$\begin{aligned} E\left\|u^+\right\|_{\infty,\infty;t}^p &\leq k(t)c(p)E\big(\left\|\xi^+ - S_0'\right\|_\infty^p + (\|\bar{f}^{0,+}\|_{\theta;t}^*)^p + (\||\bar{g}^0|^2\|_{\theta;t}^*)^{\frac{p}{2}} + (\||\bar{h}^0|^2\|_{\theta;t}^*)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &+ \|(S_0')^+\|_\infty^p + (\left\|f^{',+}\right\|_{\theta;t}^*)^p + (\||g'|^2\|_{\theta;t}^*)^{\frac{p}{2}} + (\||h'|^2\|_{\theta;t}^*)^{\frac{p}{2}}\big) \end{aligned}$$

where $k(t)$ *is constant that depends on the structure constants and* $t \in [0,T]$.

Proof. Set $(y,\nu') = \mathcal{R}(\xi^+, \check{f}, g, h, S)$ the solution with zero Dirichlet boundary conditions, where the function $\check{f}$ is defined by $\check{f} = f + f^{0,-}$, with $f^{0,-} = 0 \vee (-f^0)$. The assumption on the Lipschitz constants ensure the application of the Section 4, which give the estimate,

$$E\left\|y - S'\right\|_{\infty,\infty;t}^p \leq k(t)E(\left\|\xi^+ - S_0'\right\|_\infty^p + (\|\bar{f}^{0,+}\|_{\theta;t}^*)^p + (\||\bar{g}^0|^2\|_{\theta;t}^*)^{\frac{p}{2}} + (\||\bar{h}^0|^2\|_{\theta;t}^*)^{\frac{p}{2}}).$$

where $\bar{f}^{0,+} = \check{f}^0 - f' = f^{0,+} - f'$. On the boundary, $y = 0$ and $u \leq 0$, hence, $u - y \leq 0$ on the boundary, i.e. $(u-y)^+ \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, the other conditions of the comparison theorem are satisfied so that we can apply it and deduce that $u - S' \leq y - S'$. This implies that $(u - S')^+ \leq (y - S')^+$ and the above estimate of $y - S'$ leads to the following estimate:

$$E\left\|(u-S')^+\right\|^p_{\infty,\infty;t} \leq k(t)E(\left\|\xi^+ - S'_0\right\|^p_\infty + (\left\|\bar{f}^{0,+}\right\|^*_{\theta;t})^p + (\left\||\bar{g}^0|^2\right\|^*_{\theta;t})^{\frac{p}{2}} + (\left\||\bar{h}^0|^2\right\|^*_{\theta;t})^{\frac{p}{2}}).$$

with the estimate of S'

$$E\left\|(S')^+\right\|^p_{\infty,\infty;t} \leq k(t)E(\left\|(S'_0)^+\right\|^p_\infty + (\left\|f^{\prime,+}\right\|^*_{\theta;t})^p + (\left\||g'|^2\right\|^*_{\theta;t})^{\frac{p}{2}} + (\left\||h'|^2\right\|^*_{\theta;t})^{\frac{p}{2}}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left\|u^+\right\|^p_{\infty,\infty;t} &\leq k(t)c(p)E\big(\left\|\xi^+ - S'_0\right\|^p_\infty + (\left\|\bar{f}^{0,+}\right\|^*_{\theta;t})^p + (\left\||\bar{g}^0|^2\right\|^*_{\theta;t})^{\frac{p}{2}} + (\left\||\bar{h}^0|^2\right\|^*_{\theta;t})^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &+ \left\|(S'_0)^+\right\|^p_\infty + (\left\|f^{\prime,+}\right\|^*_{\theta;t})^p + (\left\||g'|^2\right\|^*_{\theta;t})^{\frac{p}{2}} + (\left\||h'|^2\right\|^*_{\theta;t})^{\frac{p}{2}}\big). \end{aligned}$$

□

Let us generalize the previous result by onsidering a real Itô process of the form

$$M_t = m + \int_0^t b_s ds + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \int_0^t \sigma_{j,s} dB^j_s$$

where m is a random variable and $b = (b_t)_{t\geq 0}$, $\sigma = (\sigma_{1,t}, ..., \sigma_{n,t}, ...)_{t\geq 0}$ are adapted processes.

Theorem 5.6. *Suppose that Assumptions* **(H)**, **(OL)**, **(HIL)**, **(HOL)**,**(HI2p)**, **(HO∞p)** *and* **(HDθp)** *hold for some $\theta \in [0,1[$, $p \geq 2$ and that the constants of the Lipschitz conditions satisfy*

$$\alpha + \frac{\beta^2}{2} + 72\beta^2 < \lambda.$$

Assume also that m and the processes b and σ satisfy the following integrability conditions

$$E\,|m|^p < \infty,\ E\left(\int_0^t |b_s|^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}\,ds\right)^{p(1-\theta)} < \infty,\ E\left(\int_0^t |\sigma_s|^{\frac{2}{1-\theta}}\,ds\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{2}} < \infty,$$

for each $t \in [0,T]$. Let $(u,\nu) \in \mathcal{R}_{loc}(\xi, f, g, h, S)$ be such that $(u - M)^+$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_T$. Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} E\left\|(u-M)^+\right\|^p_{\infty,\infty;t} &\leq c(p)k(t)E\big[\left\|(\xi - m)^+ - (S'_0 - m)\right\|^p_\infty + \left(\left\|\bar{f}^{0,+}\right\|^*_{\theta;t}\right)^p \\ &+ \left(\left\||\bar{g}^0|^2\right\|^*_{\theta;t}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \left(\left\||\bar{h}^0|^2\right\|^*_{\theta;t}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \left\|(S'_0 - m)^+\right\|^p_\infty \\ &+ \left(\left\|(f' - b)^+\right\|^*_{\theta;t}\right)^p + \left(\left\||g'|^2\right\|^*_{\theta;t}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \left(\left\||h' - \sigma|^2\right\|^*_{\theta;t}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\big] \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where $k(t)$ is the constant from the preceding corollary. The right hand side of this estimate is dominated by the following quantity which is expressed directly in terms of the characteristics of the process M,

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(p)k(t)E\big[&\left\|(\xi-m)^{+}-(S_0'-m)\right\|_{\infty}^{p}+\left(\left\|\bar{f}^{0,+}\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*}\right)^{p}+\left(\left\|\left|\bar{g}^{0}\right|^{2}\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}+\left(\left\|\left|\bar{h}^{0}\right|^{2}\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&+\left\|(S_0'-m)^{+}\right\|_{\infty}^{p}+\left(\left\|f^{',+}\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*}\right)^{p}+\left(\left\|\left|g'\right|^{2}\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}+\left(\left\|\left|h'\right|^{2}\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&+\left(\int_0^t \left|b_s\right|^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}\,ds\right)^{p(1-\theta)}+\left(\int_0^t \left|\sigma_s\right|^{\frac{2}{1-\theta}}\,ds\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{2}}\big].
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. One immediately observes that $u-M$ belongs to $\mathcal{R}_{loc}\left(\xi-m,\check{f},\check{g},\check{h},S-M\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{f}\left(t,\omega,x,y,z\right)&=f\left(t,\omega,x,y+M_t\left(\omega\right),z+\nabla M_t(\omega)\right)-b_t\left(\omega\right),\\
\check{g}\left(t,\omega,x,y,z\right)&=g\left(t,\omega,x,y+M_t\left(\omega\right),z+\nabla M_t(\omega)\right),\\
\check{h}\left(t,\omega,x,y,z\right)&=h\left(t,\omega,x,y+M_t\left(\omega\right),z+\nabla M_t(\omega)\right)-\sigma_t\left(\omega\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to apply the preceding theorem we only have to estimate the zero terms of the following functions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\check{f}}\left(t,\omega,x,y,z\right)&=\check{f}\left(t,\omega,x,y+S'-M,z+\nabla(S'-M)\right)-f'(t,\omega,x)+b_t(\omega),\\
\bar{\check{g}}\left(t,\omega,x,y,z\right)&=\check{g}\left(t,\omega,x,y+S'-M,z+\nabla(S'-M)\right)-g'(t,\omega,x),\\
\bar{\check{h}}\left(t,\omega,x,y,z\right)&=\check{h}\left(t,\omega,x,y+S'-M,z+\nabla(S'-M)\right)-h'(t,\omega,x)+\sigma_t(\omega).
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\check{f}}_t^{0}&=\check{f}_t(S'-M,\nabla(S'-M))-f_t'+b_t=f_t(S',\nabla S')-f_t'=\bar{f}^{0}\,,\\
\bar{\check{g}}_t^{0}&=\check{g}_t(S'-M,\nabla(S'-M))-g_t'=g_t(S',\nabla S')-g_t'=\bar{g}^{0}\,,\\
\bar{\check{h}}_t^{0}&=\check{h}_t(S'-M,\nabla(S'-M))-h_t'+\sigma_t=h_t(S',\nabla S')-h_t'=\bar{h}^{0}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, applying the preceding theorem to $u-M$, we obtain (46).
On the other hand, one has the following estimates:

$$
\left\|(f'-b)^{+}\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*}\leq c\left[\left\|f^{',+}\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*}+\left(\int_0^t \left|b_s\right|^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}\,ds\right)^{1-\theta}\right],
$$

$$
\left\|\left|h'-\sigma\right|^{2}\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*})^{\frac{p}{2}}\leq c\left[\left(\left\|\left|h'\right|^{2}\right\|_{\theta;t}^{*}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}+\left(\int_0^t \left|\sigma_s\right|^{\frac{2}{1-\theta}}\,ds\right)^{1-\theta}\right].
$$

This allows us to conclude the proof. ∎

6. Appendix

6.1. Proof of Lemma 3.2

Proof. We take the function $f_n(\omega,t,x) := f(\omega,t,x,u,\nabla u) - f^0 + f_n^0$, where $f_n^0,\ n\in\mathbb{N}^*$, is a sequence of bounded functions such that $E\left(\|f^0 - f_n^0\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2 \to 0,\ as\ n\to+\infty$. We consider the following equation

$$du_t^n(x) + Au_t^n(x)dt = f_t^n(x)dt + div\breve{g}_t(x)dt + \breve{h}_t(x)dB_t$$

where $\breve{g}(\omega,t,x) = g(\omega,t,x,u,\nabla u)$ and $\breve{h}(\omega,t,x) = h(\omega,t,x,u,\nabla u)$. This is a linear equation in u^n, from [4], we know that u^n uniquely exists.
Applying Itô's formula to $(u^n-u^m)^2$, almost surely, for all $t\in[0,T]$,

$$\|u_t^n - u_t^m\|^2 + 2\int_0^t \mathcal{E}(u_s^n - u_s^m)ds \quad = \quad 2\int_0^t (u_s^n - u_s^m, f_s^n - f_s^m)ds.$$

From (5), we have, for $\delta>0$,

$$2\left|\int_0^t (u_s^n - u_s^m, f_s^n - f_s^m)ds\right| \quad\le\quad \delta\,\|u^n - u^m\|_{\#;t}^2 + C_\delta\left(\|f^n - f^m\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}(u^n-u^m) \ge \lambda\,\|\nabla(u^n-u^m)\|_2^2$, we deduce that, for all $t\in[0,T]$, almost surely,

$$\|u_t^n - u_t^m\|^2 + 2\lambda\,\|\nabla(u^n-u^m)\|_{2,2;t}^2 \le \delta\,\|u^n-u^m\|_{\#;t}^2 + C_\delta\left(\|f^n-f^m\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2. \qquad (47)$$

Taking the supremum and the expectation, we get

$$E\left(\|u^n-u^m\|_{2,\infty;t}^2 + \|\nabla(u^n-u^m)\|_{2,2;t}^2\right) \le \delta E\,\|u^n-u^m\|_{\#;t}^2 + C_\delta E\left(\|f^n-f^m\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2.$$

Dominating the term $E\,\|u^n-u^m\|_{\#;t}^2$ by using the estimate (4) and taking δ small enough, we obtain the following estimate:

$$E\left(\|u_n-u_m\|_{2,\infty;t}^2 + \|\nabla(u_n-u_m)\|_{2,2;t}^2\right) \le C_\delta E\left(\|f^n-f^m\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2 \to 0,\ when\ n,\ m\to\infty.$$

Therefore $(u^n)_n$ has a limit u in $\mathcal{H}$.
See for example [5], we know that for u^n we have the following Itô's formula, for all $t\in[0,T]$, $P-a.s.$:

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi\left(u_t^n(x)\right)dx + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}\left(\varphi'\left(u_s^n\right), u_s^n\right)ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}}\varphi\left(\xi(x)\right)dx + \int_0^t \left(\varphi'\left(u_s^n\right), f_s^n\right)ds$$
$$-\int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\partial_i\left(\varphi'\left(u_s^n\right)\right), \breve{g}_s^i\right)ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \left(\varphi''\left(u_s^n\right), \left|\breve{h}_s\right|^2\right)ds + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^t \left(\varphi'\left(u_s^n\right), \breve{h}_s^j\right)dB_s^j.$$

Now, we pass to the limit as n tend to $+\infty$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^t \left(\varphi'\left(u_s^n\right), f_s^n\right)ds - \int_0^t \left(\varphi'\left(u_s\right), f_s\right)ds\right| \\
\le\ & \left|\int_0^t \left(\varphi'\left(u_s^n\right) - \varphi'\left(u_s\right), f_s^n\right)ds\right| + \left|\int_0^t \left(\varphi'\left(u_s\right), f_s^n - f_s\right)ds\right| \\
\le\ & C\,\|u^n-u\|_{\#;t}\,\|f^n\|_{\#;t}^* + C\,\|u\|_{\#;t}\,\|f^n-f\|_{\#;t}^*.
\end{aligned}$$

The relation (4) and the strong convergence of $(u^n)_n$ yield that $E\left\|u^n-u\right\|_{\#;t}\rightarrow 0$, as $n\rightarrow+\infty$. So, by extracting a subsequence, we can assume that the right member in the previous inequality tends to 0 $P-$almost surely as n tends to $+\infty$. So we have

$$\lim_{n\rightarrow+\infty}\int_0^t\left(\varphi'\left(u_s^n\right),f_s^n\right)ds=\int_0^t\left(\varphi'\left(u_s\right),f_s\right)ds.$$

The convergence of the other terms are easily deduced from the strong convergence of $(u^n)_n$ to u in $\mathcal{H}_T$ and yield the desired formula. □

6.2. Proof of Lemma 3.3

Proof. First of all, this equation is a special case of Theorem 3 in [7] hence, we know that w exists is unique and belongs to $\mathcal{H}_T$.
Following M.Pierre [22, 23] and F.Mignot and J.Puel [20], we define

$$\kappa(w,0):=ess\inf\{u\in\mathcal{P};\ u\geq w\ a.e.,\ u(0)\geq 0\}.$$

We consider the following equation:

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{\partial v_t^n}{\partial t}=Lv_t^n+n(v_t^n-w_t)^-\\ v_0^n=0\end{cases}\tag{48}$$

From [20], for almost all $\omega\in\Omega$, we know that $v^n(\omega)$ converges weakly to $v(\omega):=\kappa^\omega(w,0)$ in $L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\mathcal{O}))$ and that $v(\omega)\geq w(\omega)$.
(16)-(48) yields

$$d(v_t^n-w_t)+A(v_t^n-w_t)dt=(n(v_t^n-w_t)^--f_t^0)dt$$

so, we have the following relation almost surely, $\forall t\geq 0$,

$$\|v_t^n-w_t\|^2+2\int_0^t\mathcal{E}(v_s^n-w_s)ds=2\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}(v_s^n-w_s)n(v_s^n-w_s)^-dxds-2\int_0^t(v_s^n-w_s,f_s^0)ds.$$

The first term is negative and

$$\left|\int_0^t(v_s^n-w_s,f_s^0)ds\right|\leq\delta\left\|v^n-w\right\|_{\#;t}^2+C_\delta\left(\left\|f^0\right\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2.$$

Therefore

$$\|v_t^n-w_t\|_2^2+2\lambda\left\|\nabla(v^n-w)\right\|_{2,2;t}^2\leq 2\delta\left\|v^n-w\right\|_{\#;t}^2+2C_\delta\left(\left\|f^0\right\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2.$$

Taking the supremum and the expectation, we get

$$E\left\|v^n-w\right\|_{2,\infty;t}^2\leq 2\delta E\left\|v^n-w\right\|_{\#;t}^2+2C_\delta E\left(\left\|f^0\right\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2.$$

Dominating the term $E\left\|v^n-w\right\|_{\#;t}^2$ by using the estimate (4) and taking δ small enough, we obtain

$$E\left\|v^n-w\right\|_{2,\infty;t}^2+E\left\|\nabla(v^n-w)\right\|_{2,2;t}^2\leq CE\left(\left\|f^0\right\|_{\#;t}^*\right)^2.$$

By Fatou's lemma, we have

$$E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\kappa_t - w_t\|^2 + E\int_0^T \mathcal{E}(\kappa_t - w_t)dt \le CE\int_0^T \left(\left\|f_t^0\right\|_{\#}^*\right)^2 dt. \tag{49}$$

We now consider a sequence of random functions $(f^{0,n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ which belongs in $L^2(\Omega)\otimes C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)\otimes C_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ and such that $E\left\|f^{0,n}-f^0\right\|_{\#;t}^* \to 0$. Let w^n be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} dw_t^n + Aw_t^n dt = f_t^{0,n}dt \\ w_0^n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then it's well known that w^n is $P-$almost surely continuous in (t,x) (see for example [1]). Then, we consider a sequence of random open sets

$$\vartheta_n = \{|w^{n+1}-w^n| > \epsilon_n\}, \quad \Theta_p = \bigcup_{n=p}^{+\infty} \vartheta_n,$$

and $\kappa_n = \kappa(\frac{1}{\epsilon_n}(w^{n+1}-w^n),0) + \kappa(-\frac{1}{\epsilon_n}(w^{n+1}-w^n),0)$. From the definition of κ and the relation (see [23]), we get

$$\kappa(|v|) \le \kappa(v, v^+(0)) + \kappa(-v, v^-(0).)$$

Moreover, κ_n satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.3 in [23], i.e. $\kappa_n \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\kappa_n \ge 1$ *a.e.* on ϑ_n, therefore, we get the following relation:

$$E[cap\,(\Theta_p)] \le \sum_{n=p}^{+\infty} E[cap\,(\vartheta_n)] \le \sum_{n=p}^{+\infty} E\left\|\kappa_n\right\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 \le 2C\sum_{n=p}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{\epsilon_n^2}E\int_0^T\left(\left\|f_t^{0,n+1}-f_t^{0,n}\right\|_{\#}^*\right)^2 dt,$$

where the last inequality comes from (49).
By extracting a subsequence, we can consider that

$$E\int_0^T\left(\left\|f_t^{0,n+1}-f_t^{0,n}\right\|_{\#}^*\right)^2 dt \le \frac{1}{2^n}$$

and taking $\epsilon_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$ to get

$$E[cap\ (\Theta_p)] \le \sum_{n=p}^{+\infty}\frac{2Cn^4}{2^n}.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{p\to+\infty} E[cap\ (\Theta_p)] = 0.$$

Finally, for almost all $\omega\in\Omega$, $w^n(\omega)$ is continuous in (t,x) on $(\Theta_p(\omega))^c$ and $(w^n(\omega))$ converges uniformly to $w(\omega)$ on $(\Theta_p(\omega))^c$ for all p, hence, $w(\omega)$ is continuous in (t,x) on $(\Theta_p(\omega))^c$, then from the definition of quasi-continuity, we know that $w(\omega)$ admits a quasi-continuous version since $cap(\Theta_p)$ tends to 0 almost surely as p tends to $+\infty$. □

6.3. Technical Lemmas

In this section, we prove some technical lemmas that we need in the Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 4.1. For simplicity, we put, for fixed $n \leq m$, $\hat{u} := \bar{u}^n - \bar{u}^m$, $\hat{\xi} := \xi^n - \xi^m$, $\hat{f}(t,\omega,x,y,z) := \bar{f}_{n,m}(t,\omega,x,y,z)$ and similar for $\hat{g}$ and $\hat{h}$.
We recall that the initial value $\hat{\xi}$ and $\hat{f}^0$, $\hat{g}^0$, $\hat{h}^0$ are uniformly bounded.

Lemma 6.1. *Denote*

$$K = \left\|\hat{\xi}\right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathcal{O})} \vee \left\|\hat{f}^0\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+\times\Omega\times\mathcal{O})} \vee \left\|\hat{g}^0\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+\times\Omega\times\mathcal{O})} \vee \left\|\hat{h}^0\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+\times\Omega\times\mathcal{O})}.$$

Then there exist constants c, $c' > 0$ which only depend on K, C, α, β such that, for all real $l \geq 2$, one has

$$E\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\hat{u}_t(x)|^l dx \leq cK^2 l(l-1)e^{cl(l-1)t}, \tag{50}$$

$$E\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\hat{u}_s(x)|^{l-2}|\nabla\hat{u}_s(x)|^2 dxds \leq c'K^2 l(l-1)e^{cl(l-1)t}, \tag{51}$$

and

$$E\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\hat{u}_s(x)|^{l-1}(\nu^n+\nu^m)(dxds) < +\infty. \tag{52}$$

Proof. Beginning from the Itô formula for the difference of solutions of two obstacle problems which has been proved in [9]: we take the same φ_n as in the proof of Lemma 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(\hat{u}_t(x))\,dx \;+\; \int_0^t \mathcal{E}\big(\varphi_n'(\hat{u}_s),\,\hat{u}_s\big)\,ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(\hat{\xi}(x))dx + \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(\hat{u}_s(x))\,\hat{f}(s,x)\,dxds\\
&-\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n''(\hat{u}_s(x))\partial_i(\hat{u}_s(x)))\,\hat{g}_i(s,x)\,dx\,ds + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(\hat{u}_s(x))\,\hat{h}_j(s,x)\,dxdB_s^j\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n''(\hat{u}_s(x))\,\hat{h}_j^2(s,x)\,dx\,ds + \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(\hat{u}_s(x))\,(\nu^n-\nu^m)(dx\,ds)\,, \quad a.s.
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

The support of ν^n is $\{\bar{u}^n = S\}$ and the support of ν^m is $\{\bar{u}^m = S\}$, so the last term is equal to

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(S_s(x) - \bar{u}_s^m(x))\,\nu^n(dx\,ds) - \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(\bar{u}_s^n(x) - S_s(x))\,\nu^m(dx\,ds)$$

and the fact that $\varphi_n'(x) \leq 0$, when $x \leq 0$ and $\varphi_n'(x) \geq 0$, when $x \geq 0$, ensure that the last term is always negative.
By the uniform ellipticity of the operator A, we get

$$\mathcal{E}\big(\varphi_n'(\hat{u}_s),\,\hat{u}_s\big) \;\geq\; \lambda\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n''(\hat{u}_s)|\nabla\hat{u}_s|^2\,dx.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be fixed. Using the Lipschitz condition on $\hat{f}$ and the properties of the functions $(\varphi_n)_n$ we get

$$|\varphi_n'(\hat{u}_s)|\,|\hat{f}(s,x)| \leq l(\varphi_n(\hat{u}_s)+1)\,|\hat{f}^0| + (C+c_\epsilon)\,|\hat{u}_s|^2\varphi_n''(\hat{u}_s) + \,\epsilon\varphi_n''(\hat{u}_s)|\nabla(\hat{u}_s)|^2.$$

Now using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Lipschitz condition on $\hat{g}$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \varphi_n''(\hat{u}_s)\partial_i(\hat{u}_s)\,\hat{g}(s,x) \leq l(l-1)c_\epsilon K^2 + 2c_\epsilon(K^2+C^2)l(l-1)|\varphi_n(\hat{u}_s)| + (\alpha+\epsilon)\,\varphi_n''(\hat{u}_s)|\nabla(\hat{u}_s)|^2.$$

In the same way as before

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n''(\hat{u}_s)\,\hat{h}(s,x) \leq\; 2c'_\epsilon l(l-1)K^2 + 2c'_\epsilon(K^2+C^2)l(l-1)\varphi_n(\hat{u}_s) + (1+\epsilon)\,\beta^2\,\varphi_n''(\hat{u}_s)|\nabla(\hat{u}_s)|^2.$$

Thus taking the expectation, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}&E\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(\hat{u}_t(x))\,dx + (\lambda - \frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon)\beta^2 - (\alpha+2\epsilon)\,)\,E\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n''(\hat{u}_s)\,|\nabla(\hat{u}_s)|^2\,dx\,ds\\ &\leq\; l(l-1)c''_\epsilon K^2 \;+\; c''_\epsilon l(l-1)\big(K^2+C^2+C+c_\epsilon\big)E\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(\hat{u}_s(x))\,dx\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

On account of the contraction condition, one can choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough such that

$$\lambda - \frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon)\beta^2 - (\alpha+2\epsilon) > 0$$

and then

$$E\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(\hat{u}_t(x))\,dx \leq\; cK^2 l(l-1) \;+\; cl(l-1)E\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(\hat{u}_s(x))\,dx\,ds\,.$$

We obtain by Gronwall's Lemma, that

$$E\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(\hat{u}_t(x))\,dx \leq\; c\,K^2 l(l-1)\;\exp\big(c\,l(l-1)\,t\big),$$

and so it is easy to get

$$E\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n''(\hat{u}_s(x))\,|\nabla\hat{u}_s|^2\,dx\,ds \;\leq\; c'\,K^2 l\,(l-1)\;\exp\big(cl(l-1)\,t\big).$$

Then, letting $n \to \infty$, by Fatou's lemma we get (50) and (51).
From (53), we know that

$$-\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(\hat{u}_s(x))(\nu^n - \nu^m)(dxds) \leq C.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(\hat{u}_s(x))(\nu^n - \nu^m)(dxds)\\ =\;& -\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(S_s(x) - \bar{u}_s^m(x))\,\nu^n(dx\,ds) + \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(\bar{u}_s^n(x) - S_s(x))\,\nu^m(dx\,ds)\\ =\;& \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(\bar{u}_s^m(x) - S_s(x))\,\nu^n(dx\,ds) + \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(\bar{u}_s^n(x) - S_s(x))\,\nu^m(dx\,ds)\end{aligned}$$

By Fatou's lemma, we obtain

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\bar{u}_s^m(x) - S_s(x)|^{l-1} \nu^n(dxds) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\bar{u}^n(x) - S_s(x)|^{l-1} \nu^m(dxds) < +\infty, \ a.s.$$

which is exactly (52). □

Lemma 6.2. *One has the following formula for* $\hat{u}$*:* $\forall t \geq 0$*, almost surely,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\hat{u}_t(x)|^l \, dx + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}\left(l \, (\hat{u}_s)^{l-1} sgn(\hat{u}_s), \, \hat{u}_s \right) ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left| \hat{\xi}(x) \right|^l dx \\
&+ l \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(\hat{u}_s) \, |\hat{u}_s(x)|^{l-1} \, \hat{f}(s,x) \, dxds - l(l-1) \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\hat{u}_s(x)|^{l-2} \, \partial_i(\hat{u}_s(x)) \, \hat{g}_i(s,x) \, dx \, ds \\
&+ l \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(\hat{u}_s) \, |\hat{u}_s(x)|^{l-1} \, \hat{h}_j(s,x) \, dxdB_s^j + \frac{l(l-1)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\hat{u}_s(x)|^{l-2} \, \hat{h}_j^2(s,x,) \, dx \, ds \\
&+ l \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(\hat{u}_s) \, |\hat{u}_s(x)|^{l-1} \, (\nu^1 - \nu^2)(dx \, ds) \, .
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Proof. From the Itô formula for the difference of two solutions (see Theorem 6 in [9]), we have P-almost surely for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(\hat{u}_t(x)) \, dx \ + \ \int_0^t \mathcal{E}\left(\varphi_n'(\hat{u}_s), \, \hat{u}_s \right) ds = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n(\hat{\xi}(x)) dx \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(\hat{u}_s(x)) \, \hat{f}(s,x) \, dxds - \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n''(\hat{u}_s(x)) \partial_i \hat{u}_s(x) \, \hat{g}_i(s,x) \, dx \, ds \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(\hat{u}_s(x)) \, \hat{h}_j(s,x) \, dxdB_s^j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n''(\hat{u}_s(x)) \, \hat{h}_j^2(s,x) \, dx \, ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_n'(\hat{u}_s(x)) (\nu^1 - \nu^2)(dxds) \, .
\end{aligned}$$

Then, passing to the limit as $n \to \infty$, the convergences come from the dominated convergence theorem. □

Similar as before, we define the processes $\hat{v}$ and $\hat{v}'$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{v}_t &:= \sup_{s \leq t} \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\hat{u}_s|^l \, dx + \gamma l \, (l-1) \int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\hat{u}_r|^{l-2} \, |\nabla \hat{u}_r|^2 \, dx \, dr \right) \\
\hat{v}_t' &:= \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left| \hat{\xi} \right|^l dx + l^2 c_1 \left\| |\hat{u}|^l \right\|_{1,1;t} + l \left\| \hat{f}^0 \right\|_{\theta,t}^* \left\| |\hat{u}|^{l-1} \right\|_{\theta;t} \\
&\quad + l^2 \left(c_2 \left\| |\hat{g}^0|^2 \right\|_{\theta;t}^* + c_3 \left\| |\hat{h}^0|^2 \right\|_{\theta;t}^* \right) \left\| |\hat{u}|^{l-2} \right\|_{\theta;t} ,
\end{aligned}$$

where above and below γ, c_1, c_2 and c_3 are the constants given by relations (35).
We remark first that the last term in (54) is non positive, indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(\hat{u}_s) \left| S_s - u_s^2(x) \right|^{l-1} (\nu^1 - \nu^2)(dx\, ds) \\ = \; & \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(S_s - u_s^2) \left| S_s - u_s^2(x) \right|^{l-1} \nu^1(dx\, ds) \\ & - \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} sgn(u_s^1 - S_s) \left| u_s^1(x) - S_s(x) \right|^{l-1} \nu^2(dx\, ds) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then applying the same proof as the one of Lemma 4.6, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\hat{u}_s|^l \, dx + \gamma l \,(l-1) \int_0^s \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\hat{u}_r|^{l-2} \left|\nabla \hat{u}_r\right|^2 dx dr \right) \\ & \leq E \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left|\hat{\xi}\right|^l dx + l^2 c_1 E \left\| |\hat{u}|^l \right\|_{1,1;t} + l E \left\| \hat{f}^0 \right\|^*_{\theta,t} \left\| |\hat{u}|^{l-1} \right\|_{\theta;t} \\ & \quad + l^2 E \left(c_2 \left\| |\hat{g}^0|^2 \right\|^*_{\theta;t} + c_3 \left\| |\hat{h}^0|^2 \right\|^*_{\theta;t} \right) \left\| |\hat{u}|^{l-2} \right\|_{\theta;t}. \end{aligned}$$

and this yields that the process $\tau \hat{v}$ is dominated by $\hat{v}'$.
Starting from here, we can repeat line by line the proofs of Lemmas 15-17 in [5] and apply the Moser iteration as at the end of Subsection 4.1 to obtain the desired estimations, namely:

Lemma 6.3. *There exists a function $k_2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which involves only the structure constants of our problem and such that the following estimate holds*

$$E \|\hat{u}\|^p_{\infty,\infty:t} \leq k_2\,(t)\, E \left(\left\|\hat{\xi}\right\|^p + \left\|\hat{f}^0\right\|^{*p}_{\theta;t} + \left\| |\hat{g}^0|^2 \right\|^{*\frac{p}{2}}_{\theta;t} + \left\| |\hat{h}^0|^2 \right\|^{*\frac{p}{2}}_{\theta;t} \right).$$

Lemma 6.4. *There exists a function $k_1 : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which involves only the structure constants of our problem and such that the following estimate holds*

$$E \hat{v}_t \leq k_1(l,t) E \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\hat{\xi}|^l dx + \left\|\hat{f}^0\right\|^{*l}_{\theta;t} + \left\| |\hat{g}^0|^2 \right\|^{*\frac{l}{2}}_{\theta;t} + \left\| \left|\hat{h}^0\right|^2 \right\|^{*\frac{l}{2}}_{\theta;t} \right).$$

References

[1] Aronson, D.G. Non-negative solutions of linear parabolic equations, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 3, tome 22 (4), pp. 607-694 (1968):.

[2] Aronson D.G. and Serrin J. : Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear parabolic equations. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, **25**, 81-122 (1967).

[3] Bally V., Caballero E., El-Karoui N. and Fernandez, B. : Reflected BSDE's PDE's and Variational Inequalities. preprint INRIA report (2004).

[4] Denis L. and Stoïca L.: A general analytical result for non-linear s.p.d.e.'s and applications.Electronic *Journal of Probability*, **9**, p. 674-709 (2004).

[5] Denis L., Matoussi A. and Stoïca L.: L^p estimates for the uniform norm of solutions of quasilinear SPDE's. *Probability Theory Related Fields*, **133**, 437-463 (2005).

[6] Denis L., Matoussi A. and Stoïca L.: Maximum principle for parabolic SPDE's: a first approach. *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations and Applications VIII in the series "Quaderni di Matematica del Dipartimento di Matematica della Seconda Università di Napoli"* (2011).

[7] Denis L., Matoussi A. and Stoïca L.: Maximum Principle and Comparison Theorem for Quasi-linear Stochastic PDE's. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, **14**, p. 500-530 (2009).

[8] Denis L. and Matoussi A.: Maximum principle for quasilinear SPDE's on a bounded domain without regularity assumptions, to appear in *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*.

[9] Denis L., Matoussi A. and Zhang J.: The Obstacle Problem for Quasilinear Stochastic PDEs: Analytical approach, to appear in *the Annals of Probability*.

[10] Donati-Martin C. and Pardoux E.: White noise driven SPDEs with reflection. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, **95**, 1-24 (1993).

[11] El Karoui N., Kapoudjian C., Pardoux E., Peng S., and Quenez M.C.:*Reflected Solutions of Backward SDE and Related Obstacle Problems for PDEs. The Annals of Probability*, **25** (2), 702-737 (1997).

[12] Gyongy I. and Rovira C. : On L^p-solutions of semilinear stochastic partial differential equations. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, **90** , 83-108 (2000).

[13] Kim K.H. : An Lp-theory of SPDEs of divergence form on Lipschitz domains, *Journal of Theoretical Probability* 22, pp. 220- 238 (2009).

[14] Klimsiak T.: Reflected BSDEs and obstacle problem for semilinear PDEs in divergence form. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, **122** (1), 134-169 (2012).

[15] Krylov, N. V. : An analytic approach to SPDEs. *Six Perspectives*, *AMS Mathematical surveys an Monographs*, 64, 185-242 (1999).

[16] Krylov, N.V. : Maximum Principle of SPDEs and its applications, In: *Stochastic Differential Equations: Theory and Applications, A Volume in Honor of B. Rozovskii* (Edited by P. Baxendale and S. Lototsky), World Scientific, pp. 311-338 (2007).

[17] Krylov N.V. and Rozovskii B.L.: Stochastic evolution equations. *Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki. Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat.*, **14**, 71-146 (1979).

[18] Lions J.L. and Magenes E.: Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications. **1**, *Dunod, Paris* (1968).

[19] Matoussi A. and Stoïca L.:*The Obstacle Problem for Quasilinear Stochastic PDE's.* The *Annals of Probability*, **38**, 3, 1143-1179 (2010).

[20] Mignot F. and Puel J.P. : Inéquations d'évolution paraboliques avec convexes dépendant du temps. Applications aux inéquations quasi-variationnelles d'évolution. *Arch. for Rat. Mech. and Ana.*, **64**, No.1, 59-91 (1977).

[21] Nualart D. and Pardoux E.: White noise driven quasilinear SPDEs with reflection. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, **93**, 77-89 (1992).

[22] Pierre M.: Problèmes d'Evolution avec Contraintes Unilaterales et Potentiels Parabolique. *Comm. in Partial Differential Equations*, **4(10)**, 1149-1197 (1979).

[23] Pierre M. : Représentant Précis d'Un Potentiel Parabolique. *Séminaire de Théorie du Potentiel*, Paris, **No.5**, Lecture Notes in Math. 814, 186-228 (1980).

[24] Revuz, D. and Yor, M. : Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion. *Springer, third edition*, (1999).

[25] Sanz M. , Vuillermot P. : Equivalence and Hölder Sobolev regularity of solutions for a

class of non-autonomous stochastic partial differential equations. *Ann. I. H. Poincaré* PR 39, (4) 703-742 (2003).

[26] Xu T.G. and Zhang T.S.: White noise driven SPDEs with reflection: Existence, uniqueness and large deviation principles *Stochatic processes and their applications*, 119, 3453-3470 (2009).

Laurent DENIS
Laboratoire d'Analyse et Probabilités
Université d'Evry Val d'Essonne
23 Boulevard de France
F-91037 Evry Cedex, FRANCE
e-mail: ldenis@univ-evry.fr

Anis MATOUSSI
LUNAM Université, Université du Maine
Fédération de Recherche 2962 du CNRS
Mathématiques des Pays de Loire
Laboratoire Manceau de Mathématiques
Avenue Olivier Messiaen
F-72085 Le Mans Cedex 9, France
email : anis.matoussi@univ-lemans.fr
and
CMAP, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau

Jing ZHANG
Laboratoire d'Analyse et Probabilités
Université d'Evry Val d'Essonne
23 Boulevard de France
F-91037 Evry Cedex, FRANCE
Email: jing.zhang.etu@gmail.com